



Hong Kong University Students' Union
Council, Session 2013
7th Council Meeting [CM7]
Minutes

Date: 13/09/2013 (Fri)

Venue: Union Council Chamber, 2/F, Union Building

Time: 19:13

Attendance:

CC, HS, P, IVP, EVP, GS, UAS1, EAS1, EAS2, PS, SS, CAS, AS, ICAP, CAP, RSA2, RICA1, RICA2, RCA1 (sub), RCA2 (early leave with apology), HHR*, LHHR, LHTHR, LSKHR, MHR*, RCLHR, SCSHR, SJCR, STHR, SWHR, UHR, WLHR, ASR, BEAR, DSR, EDSR, ENSR, LAR, MSR, SSR, SSSR, PP, PC5 (early leave with apology)

Late:

FS (with apology), RHR (with apology), SKYLHR (with apology), AAR (with apology), ECU (with apology), CTVC (with apology), PC4 (with apology)

Absent:

SWS (with apology), SAP (with apology), RSA1 (with apology), LCHHR (with apology), PC1 (without apology), PC2 (with apology)

Section A

1 To read out the correspondences

Substitution

- RCA1 would be substituted by IDP of CA, Ching Kam Hung (UID:2012593750) due to family matters.

Late

- FS would be 1-2 hours late due to overtime work.
- RHR would arrive at 22:00 due to high table dinner.
- SKYLHR would arrive an hour late due to family matter.
- AAR would be late for 30 minutes due to clash with society function.
- ECU would be late for 1.5 hour due to tutorial lesson.
- CTVC would be late for 30 minutes due to a lab section.
- PC4 would arrive at 22:00 due to personal matters.

Early Leave

- RCA2 would early leave at 22:00 due to family reason.
- PC5 would early leave at 23:00 due to family matters.

Absent

- SWS would be absent due to personal matters.
- SAP would be absent due to urgent family matters.
- RSA1 would be absent due to a team event.
- LCHHR would be absent due to important family matters.
- PC2 would be absent due to important personal issues.

2 Report on motions by circulation

- CC reported that Motion by circulation no. 117 had been carried.

3 To receive and adopt the agenda

- CC made changes to the sequence of agenda. The original B9 was moved to B4, B10 was moved to B5, the agenda on re-election would be cut since there had not yet been a reply from HKU Council, the original B12 was moved to B6. The half-yearly reports of PC2 and SWS would not be reported in CM7 since they could not present due to absence yet.

Motion 1

To receive and adopt the agenda of CM7.

Proposer: WONG Yee Man (IVP)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 19:27

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:27

4 To receive and adopt the minutes of ECM6 and CM6

- RICA2 suggested that attendance of RICA1 & RICA2 were missing from minutes for CM6.

Motion 2

To receive and adopt the minutes of ECM6.

Proposer: YIP Kwan Kit (CAS)
Seconded: WONG Yee Man (IVP)

Time Received: 19:31

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:32

Motion 3

To receive and adopt the minutes of CM6.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconded: LEUNG Ching Him (EAS1)

Time Received: 19:32

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:32

Section B

1 To cast a vote of confidence to Mr. LEUNG Wing Ho, Popularly Elected Union Councillor III

- CC confirmed with Faculty of Engineering that, PC3 had already discontinued his studies in university. She asked past CCs and it was concluded that vote of confidence should be given to those going to graduate, but since PC3 had left for some time so he was no longer full member already.
- AAR referred to the constitution that vote of confidence would be for those who “ceases to be a Full Member”, Leung still fallen on this category.
- UHR asked if anything extra needed to be done to terminate his office, or it would be automatically terminated since he was no longer a full member.
- EDSR stated that there should be no need to have the vote of confidence cast when he is still full member.
- CC referred the unclear area to be the time gap between his cease to be a full member and the casting of the vote of non-confidence, whether or not he was still a Union Councillor. Since if he was no longer a councillor, an invitation to council needed to be sent.
- AAR asked when he was no longer a full member, i.e. when the university sent out the official letter to notify him that he was no longer a HKU student.
- CC asked councillors if they agreed that a vote of confidence could be cast at that stage.
- GS believed that there would be no need to discuss on whether he was a councillor at that stage, since the constitution had stated that union councillors must be full member. He quoted a precedent case from Science Society, which the vote of confidence was cast only

after the office holder had transferred to CUHK. However, commenting on Leung's case, he was reluctant to confirm on his leave, thus GS had to confirmed with a number of school departments, and it caused a lot of inconvenience. Quite some time has been wasted already, so things should be settled ASAP.

- CC concluded that there would be only 56 councillors remaining.
- UHR suggested something to be done to notify full members on his leave of office, since he had popular consent.
- AAR reminded that it would not be a constitutional responsibility, but Undergrad might make an announcement.
- LAR suggested in the name of the Union Council, he could be requested to write some words to full members.
- RCLHR suggested using mass email.

2 To appoint students representatives on University Committees

- UAS1 introduced that 4 full members wished to be appointed but only 2 were present.
- Speaking rights granted to Mr. Law Man Kin and Miss Kanika Bali.
- Law originally wanted to join the Committee of Students affairs, but since no vacancies were remained, so he was suggested by UAS1 to join the Disciplinary Committee. He wished to serve in voicing out students' interests.
- Bali: as a non local, want to voice out the problem they encounter in university
- UAS1 introduced that Miss Lam Kam Ping was with disability in action, so she could help voicing out the situation of disabled students in HKU.

Motion 4

To appoint Ms. LAM Kam Ping (UID:3035054749) AS THE MEMBER OF Disability Action Committee.

Proposer: TSANG Chung Hei (UAS1)

Secunder: TANG Laurence (P)

Time Received: 19:50

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:51

Motion 5

To appoint LEUNG Kwun Yat (UID:3035011072) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: TSANG Chung Hei (UAS1)

Secunder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 19:51

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:51

Motion 6

To appoint Mr. LAW Man Kin (UID:3035009196) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: TSANG Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 19:52

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:52

Motion 7

To nominate Ms. Kanika Bali (UID: 2012526355) as the member of Committee on Student Affairs.

Proposer: TSANG Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 19:52

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:53

- UAS1 reported that there would still be 1 vacancy in the Disciplinary Committee and the Committee on Student Amenities respectively.
- AAR wanted to be appointed into the Committee on Students Affairs originally, but now he would want to join the Committee on Student Amenities, since student amenities would affect their university campus life much. He believed he would be able to strike a balance on the work of External Vice-Chairperson of the Arts Association and the committee.
- PC5 expressed that as a law student, he wanted to help in the investigation in student conduct.

Motion 8

To nominate NG Wai Ka (UID: 3035054361) to Committee on Student Amenities.

Proposer: NGAI Ting Hong (SSR)

Seconded: HO Oi Mei (BEAR)

Time Received: 19:57

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:57

Motion 9

To nominate Wong Wing Long Leo (UID:3035055511) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: CHEN Sin Yee (RCA2)

Seconded: GUAN Jiayin (SKYLHR)

Time Received: 19:59

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 20:00

3 To receive and adopt the Financial Budget of Campus TV

- RCLHR asked where the maintenance cost of machines was put in.
- CTVC explained that since quite a lot was spent on maintenance, it was put in general maintenance.
- CC asked what the difference in equipment maintenance and general maintenance would be.
- CTVC differentiated them into assistance in production and broadcast station maintenance respectively.
- LAR asked whether or not 2 new systems would be invented and adopted.
- CTVC explained that there would be new systems installed, since he did not want to make general items too general, thus it would make up a new item.
- ASR asked for the difference between items 11 & 12.
- CTVC explained that item 11 would be hard disk installation, while 12 would be an adapter to link up camcorder and internet, in order to facilitate live broadcast. The maintenance cost of hard disk and installation cost were separated from each other.
- PC5 asked for more details on publicity, especially why it would cost \$100 per piece of poster.
- SSSR clarified that PC5 should have read wrong, it would be \$5 x 200 pieces.
- CC spotted that there had been overlapping items in publicity and general administration.
- CTVC identified publicity in general administration as the introduction of organizations, sub-committees and other programs not directly planted in the organization.

- LAR pointed out that LA spent only \$400 on name cards for the whole executive committee, \$1200 would be 3 times.
- ICAP believed that the cost for printing name cards should not be allowed to claim, since it would just be a mean to publicize the executive committee but not related to the work of the organization.
- SSSR suggested that the printing of A3 poster should be \$1 each in Mong Kok, it should only be in HKU that cost as expensive as \$5 each.
- EDSR asked for more details on souvenirs.
- PC5 asked what would be included in photocopying, since posters had already been separated as an independent item.
- CTVC explained that as photocopying of forms for application. Concerning souvenirs, they had ordered folders which cost \$1500 in total, a little bit more than \$1 each. After listening to councillors' opinion, he promised to try to look for more cost effective ways in actual operation.
- PC5 queried that photocopying should cost only \$0.4 each, the sum stipulated in the budget could afford up to 1000 copies. He also followed up on the amount of telephone fee.
- CTVC explained that the telephone in the Campus TV society room adopted PCCW service, and it acted as a hotline, which media called this number to ask for reporting.
- CC asked for the reason why quantity would be 4.
- CTVC explained that there would be 4 seasons in each year.
- RCA1 (sub) asked why as many as 4 hard disks would be needed.
- CTVC reported that it would cost \$1000 each for 2TB/ 3TB ones. Extra ones would be needed for back up.
- ASR suggested digitalizing all records, which might save a great amount of money.
- CTVC explained that the bulk storage of materials would make the digitalization take 2-3 years.
- PC5 asked if items 2-7 would all be replacement, and whether or not there would be too many replacements at one time.
- CTVC explained that many equipment would be unable to be repaired, like cables. Some were in the condition between replacement and maintenance.
- CAP queried on whether it would be necessary of having \$100 spent on each signature book.
- CTVC promised to try to buy a cheaper one.
- WLHR queried whether or not new props and costume must be bought.
- CTVC referred that as the allowance for participants to purchase new props and costume, which would not be granted if unnecessary.
- CAP asked whether \$1000 in total for refreshment would be necessary, whether or not it could be sponsorship.
- CTVC regarded that most sponsorship providers would be willing to sponsor on orientation camps only, and CTV would not be attractive to companies.
- CAP explained that purchasing refreshment for guest would be reasonable, but the sum was unnecessarily large, most societies asked for sponsors as refreshment.
- CC reminded that actually CTV would claim money only after it was spent, thus FS could be the gatekeeper.

Motion 10

To receive and adopt the financial budget of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: MOK Ka Hei (CTVC)

Seconder: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)

Time Received: 20:32

Resolution: Withdrawn

- CAP consulted that if the budget would affect budget allocation.
- CC clarified that a sum had already been allocated to CTV already.
- ICAP wanted to look deep into the small items.
- GS considered the sum spent on refreshment to be reasonable, since spending \$100 would be able to buy just 2 packs of potato chips and 2 packs of drinks. He promised to be strict, like demanding guest list.
- CAP considered it to be double standards, since organizations under CA would not be able to claim money for refreshment.
- GS pointed out that 3A clubs had own member bases, so it could not be compared.
- PC5 wanted to reverse back to the discussion on name cards, he believed that budget should be accurate and should be changed before adoption. He also pointed out that there need not be 100 pieces of posters.
- CC concluded that posters, name cards would be the main points of discussion; she would like to obtain reply on these items in specific.
- RCA2 believed there had been lots of opinion from councillors, which CTVC also agreed on some of them, she suggested having the budget amended first.
- CTVC agreed to try to decrease unit price immediately.

Motion 11

To withdraw the motion on table.

Proposer: MOK Ka Hei (CTVC)

Seconder: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)

Time Received: 20:43

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 20:43

Motion 12

To move the agenda B5 to B4.

Proposer: 鄧日朗 (P)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 20:47

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 20:47

4 To discuss the arrangements of Annual Debate

- CC introduced the annual debate to be a council meeting held in public. This year it would be held on 23 OCT 12:45-14:00. The venue and topic were yet to be confirmed. She had already booked Hacking Wong podium and Happy Park for safety measures. She concluded there to be 3 items yet to be discussed: 1) venue, 2) topic and 3) deadlines. Holding the annual debate in happy park would be a tradition, apart from the last session, but Hacking Wong podium might be able to attract more passers-by.
- AAR suggested foyer since the number of passers-by would also be considerable.
- CC believed there would be less people.
- AAR believed that the topic would be the key factor that affects the number of audience a lot. Hacking Wong Podium would be a bit crowded, since people queue up buying lunch
- LAR believed that Hacking Wong Podium would have a lot more people passing by than Happy Park. She believed there would be nearly no people in Happy Park during lunch hour.
- SSSR preferred foyer, but he also pointed out that the Council needed to consider if other societies would share some space in foyer on that day.
- CC reminded that the space last year could not be used anymore, since iCenter had been opened. The other side of foyer would be used.
- SS raised that Hacking Wong podium would be insufficient for all councillors to sit without blocking others.
- CC stated that Happy Park would be more feasible.
- GS reminded that foyer was already filled with bookings
- CC reminded that 10 tables and 60 chairs had been borrowed.
- AAR regarded hardware could not be changed, thus he believed the Council should choose happy park and try all feasible ways to publicize
- SKYLHR asked what if it rained.
- CC stated that before last year, it had always been held in Happy Park. If raining, it would be library extension She preferred Hacking Wong Podium or library extension as contingency plans.
- LSKHR believed that if Hacking Wong Podium could act be contingency, it meant that it would be feasible right at the beginning, he queried why not taking it as the first choice.
- CC wanted to set up a council setting on that day.
- CAP pointed out that Hacking Wong Podium could not been used as a contingency, since there would be little ponds.

- RICA2 suggested KK Leung concourse.
- AAR pointed out that Arts Association had their campaign in KK Leung Concourse; the number of people passing by was poor.
- SS agreed that there was dripping in Hacking Wong Podium.
- CC concluded that Happy Park would be the first choice and the area outside Main Library would be the bad weather contingency. She asked for topic suggestion.
- PC5 suggested political reform as a heated debate topic in society, but not encountering in university often.
- AAR considered it not a 2-sided debate, and he was afraid that there would not be inspiring idea, because most people would have similar stance. And the annual debate would be immediately following the 2nd deliberation day, those who wanted to voice out opinion would have already done so. He believed that the topic should not be too difficult, or else students who did not have much background knowledge might be deterred. Political reform was already widely covered in the past, and deliberation series might also have wide interest and coverage.
- CC suggested that the topic could also be on Union structure/ current affairs. She pointed out that usually motions on Union structure would only be covered in age of turmoil, when the students might be more familiar with the issue.
- PC5 suggested another topic: “港大學生會的所有持票評議員應由普選產生”.
- ECU agreed that topics on political reform being too technical, thus Union Council might not be able to handle well. He believed that a topic more related to the identity as a university student might be better.
- AAR believed that the details of political reform would not be suitable for debate, since only one single debate might not help much in increasing students' sense in this topic. He reviewed CM5 minutes and found that civil disobedience might be widely concerned by quite a number of councillors.
- SSSR asked for more details, for example which aspect of civil disobedience.
- ASR wanted a discussion on what was intended to be brought to full members, or the main focus was to compromise on something.
- CC pointed out that in the past there had been topics on school policies, like “honor system” and “GPA”-related ones.
- ECU suggested “大學生應否參與佔領中環運動”, as it would be a debate on principles and quite simple to understand.
- RCLHR asked whether or not it would be focusing on which role university students should take up.
- GS preferred yes/no motions, which could include different levels of participation. He believed that Union affairs should not be involved, like “campaign 時數會否太長”, since it actually could not involving many. He suggested topics related to the selection of the new vice chancellor to be considered.
- AAR believed the debate could be on whether student representatives should be increased. There were only 6 candidates left at that stage, but information could only be disclosed when only 1 candidate was left behind. However he worried that the discussion atmosphere was not vigorous enough.

- UHR suggested that political reform could be used to heating up the atmosphere, while students might not have sufficient knowledge on the selection of Vice Chancellor. In “大學生應否參與佔領中環運動”, there seemed to be an assumption that they should attend.
- CC concluded that there would be 3 points for consideration:
 - 1) should be 2-sided
 - 2) degree of difficulties
 - 3) atmosphere
 She hoped councillors could comment on each suggested motion with these 3 criteria.
- EVP suggested “癱瘓中環是爭取民主的一種可行方法”.
- ECU suggested “大學生應否有權參與選校長”.
- CC worried that it would evolve into “yes, to what extent?”
- SSSR described the present situation as indirectly electing, since we cast the vote to P, and let P go into the committee. The debate could be on whether or not university students should be the sole party involved in the selection of Vice Chancellor.
- P considered both as not very debatable; he referred to the one suggested by ECU as “yes, to what extent?” and the one suggested by SSSR as “no, to what extent”. If the debate was related to the selection of Vice Chancellor, on the confidentiality issue and whether or not the CV of the candidates could be disclosed, the press would bring an image of university students being overly aggressive.
- P suggested “港大學生會應廢除必然會員制”.
- CC asked if the discussion focus could include the mechanism of withdrawal in membership.
- P explained that every year there were challenges towards this mechanism, thus the Council should make good use of the chance to discuss.
- RCLHR asked whether or not university students should take up role in leading social movements would be a valuable topic to discuss.
- ASR raised problems related to the proportion of non-local students admitted to HKU, and suggested “香港大學收生應設非本地生限額”.
- CAS refined it to “大學聯招比例應否上調”, and he believed there might have side effect if too focused in political reform in every of the function related to HKUSU.
- AS pointed out that there must be a proportion, so not very debatable.
- P suggested to refine it into “香港大學應減少招收非本地生”.
- LHHR agreed that if the motion was on university affairs, it might be more effective.
- SSR suggested changing it to “香港大學應減少招收內地生”.
- LAR pointed out that same resources allocation were given to non-local and mainland students, why the latter would be discriminated.
- ECU pointed out that in Lung Wa Residential College, mainland students had already been treated as a special category.
- AAR refined P’s suggestion into “港大學生會應廢除現行的必然會員制”.
- EDSR raised the recent suppression of demonstration as a heated current issue.
- ASR worried that it could not raise much attention, and regarded Annual Debate as an inappropriate occasion to discuss on this.
- AAR suggested “校長遴選委員會應在委任校長人選前公佈多於一位最終候選人”.

- EVP believed that the proportion of non-local students as a part of the internationalization policy of HKU. Thus he suggested to refine the motion as "香港大學的國際化政策需要修改".
- CAS pointed out that the JUPAS quota in 1997 was 14500, but now it was only 12000, he considered that as very related to us.
- LAR pointed out that JUPAS should not be used as the line, use "internationalization" instead.
- DSR believed that various examination system, i.e. A-level, IB, were not necessarily related to future development of the university

Motions suggested (the number indicated the number of votes supporting that as the motion of Annual Debate 2013):

- 1) 大學生應參與佔領中環運動 4
- 2) 癱瘓中環是爭取民主的一種可行方法 7
- 3) 大學生應有權參與選校長 3
- 4) 香港大學收生應調低非本地生限額 21
- 5) 香港大學應減少招收內地生 4
- 6) 港大學生會應廢除現行的必然會員制 16
- 7) 校長遴選委員會應公開多於一名最終候選人，並向同學諮詢 7
- 8) 香港大學的國際化政策需要修改 4
- 9) 大學聯招收生比例應該上調 8
- 10) 香港大學已採取足夠措施成為國際學府 3
- 11) 港大學生會的所有持票評議員應由普選產生 5

- AAR asked if a stance must be generated after the debate, it was not stated in constitution that a motion must be submitted even if it was too controversial.
- EVP asked if the motion submitted could be not only yes/no, like HKUSU must seek to settle the issue within 5 years.
- CC concluded that since no.4 and 6 had the highest votes of 21 and 16 respectively, so a second round voting would be conducted between these 2.

4) 香港大學收生應調低非本地生限額 31

6) 港大學生會應廢除現行的必然會員制 11

The motion of Annual Debate 2013 would be 香港大學收生應調低非本地生限額

- EVP reminded that an email should be sent to school to request for related information, and staff should be invited to observe.
- SS asked whether the debate would be conducted in English, it would be discriminatory if non-local students were excluded in a motion that was related to them.
- PC4 asked if immediate translation would be feasible.
- CC suggested having instant notes by HS.
- PC4 asked if students major in translating could help.
- RCLHR stated that there were earphones, and hall-mates majoring in translation to do translation during high table dinners at hall.
- LAR asked if there could be professional translators invited. She asked if RCLH could help.

- GS asked if councillors should have the stance paper written in English.
- RCLHR stated that RCLH could lend out the earphones, but translators could not be invited by them.
- SKYLHR suggested that the Council invite the translators employed by the General Education Unit.
- RCA2 pointed out employing them would be very expensive, around \$2000 per hour.
- CC set 4 Oct and 15 Oct as the deadline of stance paper and the deadline of info to be obtained from school respectively. There would be no exact word limits.
- P expected PC to have achieved more conclusions before passing the matter to the Union Council. He pointed out that the Council actually should not have spent so much time on this discussion.
- AAR agreed that it would only be under the situation of having no PCs that CBC should be responsible for annual debate. Thus in this case the publicity work should still be borne by PCs.

3 To receive and adopt the Financial Budget of Campus TV (Cont.)

- CTVC introduced that the unit price had already been decreased according to councillors' opinion.

Motion 13

To receive and adopt the financial budget of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: MOK Ka Hei (CTVC)

Seconder: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)

Time Received: 10:46pm

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 10:47pm

5 To consider the application of Union Development Fund by Campus TV

- CTVC reported that they needed renewal of equipment, but did not receive any foundation from HKUSU to renew. \$26530 will be spent on 2 camcorders. In the production of Multi-media programs, there would be a need of concurrently using 2 machines with high-quality. In the production News reports, quick and accurate report would be needed, so the concurrent usage of 2 camcorders would bring convenience. They intended to purchase NEX 3G30 and PJ 790, since they could only consider Sony Camcorders in order to use them with other existing Sony equipment. The new camcorders would only be of the same level with the broken ones, there would be no

upgrading of equipment. For the old camcorder, it was be used 140 times on multi-media, 170 times on news, and a total of 33 pieces of news were issued.

- FS reported on what happened in UFC, UFC members asked about the opportunity of concurrently using machines. Only 1 camcorder was malfunctioning, but CTV would buy 2 new ones, making it a total of having 3 camcorders, but CTV insisted on the need of buying 2 more, due to the need of multi-angle recording. CTV wanted to purchase a Sony brand one, which would be similar to the present one, in order to avoid downgrade of quality. The durability of each machine would be around 6-7 years.
- RCLHR pointed out that the old one had just been used for 2 years, but already with problems observed, he reminded CTVC to remind next executive committee about the maintenance.

Motion 14

To approve the application of Union Development Fund by Campus TV, HKUSU.

Proposer: MOK Ka Hei (CTVC)

Seconder: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)

Time Received: 23:03

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 23:03

6 To receive and adopt the half-yearly reports of the Union Executives

a. President

- P reported that he was not in charge of many functions, due to the turmoil of session alternation.

1) Legitimacy of vacating CC'12 Tam Chun Sing

- P's recommendation would be there were too many roadblocks to vacate a CC, CRC should amend the constitution. He supported Honorary Treasurer to be the only person who could sign to take money from HKUSU account. Since registry office was reluctant to decide who the legitimate office bearer should be, the matters were only settled in court, which would be very time and money consuming. With enough capital, the Union could try to run as a limited corporation in his opinion.

2) Jason Lam

- P identified that Jason Lam was employed by 3 executive committee members, he would recommend to include the whole executive committee in recruitment in the future.

3) Vice Chancellor selection

- P pointed out that current student representative would not have enough mandate, there should be campaign for a candidate being elected as the student representative, council could have invited them to do so. The matter of confidentiality would also be a topic for debate.

- All in all, P suggested that more focus should be put on Public Relations, raising the legitimacy of HKUSU. The financial cost of the first half of term was covered solely by the Union Executives, had also been a consequence of imperfect provision, hopefully history would not repeat.
- AAR referred to the handover dispute and asked about the role of Dispute Resolution Committee.
- P pointed out that the dispute was mainly handled by the Union Executives at the beginning. They met the legal adviser, to understand the cost. In the course of discussion, HKU had already declared their stance and the financial independence was retained, police registry also started processing the application, thus the Committee needed not have meetings anymore.
- AAR asked whether there should be reports to be handed in.
- CC confirmed that as the chairperson, she would hand in report after reply from registry was received.
- LAR asked P to briefly report on the balance of internal and external affairs.
- P stated that in terms of internal, at first the Executives faced a lot of difficulties when communicating with contractors, since they were not recognized as legitimate. He asked on a clarification on LAR's question.
- LAR clarified that she would like P to review in terms of internal and external work of the Union.
- P stated that he basically involved in everything but did not in charge of function. He would let other Union Executives report that in details.
- AAR pointed out that the Staff Recruitment Panel had been initiated by Union Executives already, so he hoped they could include also non-executive councillors in the affair. The committee was not only responsible for staff recruitment, but also regular evaluation, he also suggested that it could have been made a standing committee.
- EDSR referred back to LAR's question, and clarified that she should be asking for an overall evaluation of the internal and external balance.
- LAR stated that P should be able to overview the whole picture of balance.
- P believed that a satisfying degree of balance had been stroked, but members might not be able to see the whole picture.
- AAR heard opinion that full members could not really understand what had been done by the Union Executive, he suggested the Executives to report on the working process through Facebook, in order to increase frequency when comparing with distributing leaflets.
- LAR reminded that if the material would be openly publicized to members, it should be proofread by someone.
- AAR believed that the election of members to the election committee of vice chancellor could be done in HKU council. HKUSU Council should help in acting as a communication bridge between full members and HKU Council, like helping to request for agenda
- LAR believed the notice of executive committee meeting could be disclosed to members.
- UHR believed that in terms of selection of vice chancellor, although the confidentiality clause deterred disclosing their information, but still could have reported more on the

progress. Evaluating on the design of questionnaire, open end question were in general disliked.

- ECU believed that the result of the opinion collection should have been released.

b. Vice-President (Internal)

- IVP pointed out that she could not attend university committee in the first half of the year due to the dispute in identity.

1) management of union building:

- IVP reported that she had cooperated with various service partners, including 3 shops (2 computer service center, Starbucks), and there would also be a TWHG social enterprise soon. However, no documents related to that could be retained after the turmoil of HKUSU the previous session. Every bit of record had been removed from IVP email account, she could only ask from service partners for some signed documents, which might have a risk that they had changed the terms. She intended to set up an archival in order to avoid this happen again, hopefully UEC'12 could provide documents to Union Executives. Concerning the relationship with service partners, some actually preferred dealing with the school instead of the executives when their legitimate status was in question. The council chamber was also equipped in her term of office, the installation of CCTV in Union Building might be realizing soon. She dedicated her gratitude to subordinate organizations. She had some opinion on tendering, it was not clarified on the procedures of initiating a tendering, thus she hoped to set up tendering committee in council to include more diverse opinion. She thought she could have communicated more with estate office for the details of the operation of union building.

2) Pillar of shame

- IVP identified the problem as having not enough resource for maintenance. The responsibility of care stayed not clarified yet, if the artist could have reviewed the status of the pillar, more resources might be granted. She recommended the union council to set up a committee for the maintenance of the pillar of shame.

3) Induction Day

- IVP identified the main problems as having no MC and equipment failure. She recommended having rehearsal beforehand.
- SWHR asked if there could be other reasons for the loss of documents in the cooperation with service partners.
- AAR hoped that not only hardcopies of the documents would be kept, but could also spread softcopy to members and let secretariat put onto council website.
- SSSR believed that a communication channel should be set as permanent.
- IVP pointed out that an opinion collection on the use of union foyer would be initiated soon.
- AAR asked what would be the resolution for union foyer failing to support kitchen, whether a redecoration would be needed.
- IVP mentioned that when reporting to HKU Council, TWHG suggested to make it a snack bar, but it turns out to have 50% of the area as kitchen.

- CEDARS and kitchen consultant concluded that it might be offending the law and suggested TWHG to make changes.
- AAR asked about the work in senate.
- CC answered that it would be included in an appendix of the UAC report.
- SSSR asked if there could be sensor installed so that electricity in the Union Building could be saved.
- LAR asked if there could be a vending machine in Centennial Campus, the nearest one would be at SU canteen, whether or not Union Executives could act as a medium to require setting up some in LG.
- IVP promised to follow up.
- ECU asked what function the photocopying center would take.
- IVP referred to GS for answer.
- EDSR asked about the possible future of the HKUSU welfare vending machine near the SU Canteen.
- IVP explained that it was imported by Ernest Cheung, who first said some could be bought to introduce cheaper drinks, but it turned out to be rented. She had the contract terminated already.
- STHR pointed out the vending machine was left there without any drinks to be offered, he asked whether or not it would stay there.
- IVP explained that it would be removed by the contractors.
- EDSR pointed out that many facilities were going to be put in centennial campus, he asked if some could be placed in main campus and Meng Wah complex.
- CC believed that would be the responsibility of UAS/ SWS.
- RICA2 pointed out that the empty space outside SU office and foyer would be suitable places for subordinate organizations to hold event. She asked if there would be revitalization.
- AS replied that foyer has resumed having great number of passers-by after school, it would be out of safety measure to terminate the letting out of the areas to sub organizations.
- ECU asked if the Union Executives would hold GP for proactively taking up the responsibility on the Pillar of Shame.
- IVP believed that the financial problem would not be able to be solved and the other parties might not recognize the results of the GP.
- AAR commented that the Union Executives stayed passive, actually they could have notified members more on the difficulties.

Motion 15

The Half-yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of Vice-President (Internal)), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: LEUNG Ching Him (EAS1)

Time Received: 23:55

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 23:56

c. Vice-President (External)

- EVP pointed out that the work of external affairs was done together with CAS and the 2 EAS.
- 1) Strike in Kwai Chung Terminal
 - EVP commented that HKUSU was a bit late in response, fortunately the HKU Leftist responded faster. A letter was handed to C.Y. Leung, though there was no response received. The Union Executives also gathered full members to join demonstration.
- 2) June Fourth
 - EVP reported that 2 buses were sent to pick hall residents up. Concerning the t-shirt design, it was just average, since they got only 1 month to prepare. HKUSU did not voice out opinion for the conflict within HK Alliance.
- 3) 7.1 Rally
 - EVP reported that he had meetings with external organizations, like secretariat of Occupy Central, but it was a bit diverse in response to the publication materials.
- 4) others
 - EVP reported that other work done were statement on C.Y. Leung and statement on Chung Hwa Book incident. There should be review no longer with contaminated background but still could not involve full members much in external affairs.
 - EDSR stated that full members might not have enough reactions towards political reform.
 - AAR pointed out that HKU already had the most number of sub organizations involved in D day.
 - EVP confirmed that among all universities, HKU was already regarded as having the best response. He confessed that HKUSU should be bearing the responsibility of publication, but full members should also get involved.
 - LAR regarded HKU students to be spoon fed, thus messages must be widespread in campus. She went on to ask if there were any format in the half yearly reports.
 - EVP explained that since HKUSU regarded both Chinese and English as official languages, Union Executives could choose themselves to write with either language.
 - LAR expressed that she thought it would be unacceptable, it would be a must to use the same language, and better use English to let all members accessible.
 - SSSR asked if there were other ways to reach members, even faculty societies could not reach its members well.
 - RCLHR believed that the Union Executives must make use of campus area and more various means like street stations, so that more non-locals would be included.
 - AAR suggested that the Union Executives could imitate sub organizations to hold indoor sharing session, which focused on discussion. Class visit might as well be an efficient way. Increase cooperation with halls, like getting into halls would be a possible choice also.
 - SSR believed eye catching guest invited to forum would be more useful.

- RCLHR pointed out that leaflets might be too heavy for full members.

Motion 16

The Half-yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of Vice-President (External)), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time Received: 00:22

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 00:22

d. General Secretary

- GS identified his work mainly as administrative work, acting as communication bridge between staff and other Union Executives. He would also handle the issue on loss of document and democracy wall. He reported that he had been stricter this year on the usage of poster sites, once he discovered that EFS had pasted 149 posters around the campus, while other societies like Science Society only got 25, the former was penalized for 800 dollars. Another incident would be LHTH hanging 2 banners in 1 poster site, thus it was also penalized for 500 dollars. He reported that he had also helped in function room equipment maintenance. He hoped to keep a list of record of societies infringing Internal Regulation. He reported that the Union still owed money on photocopying machines, but IVP'12 gave no reply on the inquiry on contract matters. In Jan 2013, the printer situation was kind of abnormal with colorful printing of around 20000 pieces, which was quite unreasonable, he was strict on the claiming of money, and chasing after receipts, since 4000 dollars each month was wasted simply due to nobody could operate the machines. He also got union structure and website link of sub organizations updated.
- AAR asked how he would handle the photocopying center if the people operating it still could not be found.
- P reported that actually there were no contract and no contact, thus would handle as if it was not let out.
- AAR asked whether or not they would find others to run the photocopying center.
- P would deal with other companies when trying to reach contract, and tell them HKUSU is currently renting 4 machines, see if they need them.
- RCLHR suggested having them auto run like the ones in Chiwah.
- P pointed out that octopus card readers were costly (around \$800 each + service charge), and 24 hour running implied more maintenance cost.

- LAR asked about resources booking, which was done manually and no more “First Come First Served” at 5pm on Monday and booking of facilities 3 weeks earlier.
- GS promised to update online booking ASAP.
- AS mentioned that daily booking would allow 8 week booking beforehand, it was only the orientation period booking that only allows immediate booking.
- LAR commented that many LA ex-co skipped lesson to book facilities, only to find that the “First come first served” system at 5pm Monday was cancelled.
- SSSR asked if there would be any special arrangements in the Centennial Campus.
- AS stated that the notice boards in front of the elevator would be managed by HKUSU.
- UAS reminded that faculties owned the board in lift lobby, so they would not be given to SU. And the boards outside BEA was in trial use of HKUSU, materials to be posted need to be approved by estate office beforehand, sub-organizations could try to give him draft of promotional materials so that he could pass to estate office.
- AAR believed that the links on HKUSU website should be updated. He also reminded that the election regulation of the Undergraduate Membership in Board of Faculties election should have a hardcopy obtained ASAP. He also wished that GS could clarify on the allowance of 24 hours road-sign beforehand not needing permission in also the Centennial campus.
- RCLHR asked about the feasibility of changing the location of democracy board, since Happy Park had not many people passing after the opening of the Centennial Campus.
- EDSR pointed out that the democracy board is smaller when compared to other universities like the City University.
- AAR also regarded the glass in front as deterrence.
- P intended to add one more in campus.
- AAR suggested the new one to be located at the glass outside SU office.
- AS pointed out that the location of democracy board should not be decided by ex-co, thus he needed to communicate with the university, since it is university facilities.

Motion 17

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of General Secretary) , Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 00:56

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 00:57

e. Financial Secretary

- FS identified that FS handled most of the financial matters, while Honorary treasurer was just part time. He reported that Honorary treasurer was very discontented about not

being informed about the decision before money is spent. And forms for claiming money were often handed in late, due to the slow working speed Sylvia had. There were 2 layers of record, while Honorary Treasurer kept one of them.

1) union budget

- FS considered there to be many difficulties in passing the Union Budget earlier, after taking office, he immediately started negotiating with sub organizations, but it still took 3 months. Before FS leaves his office, he should leave an estimated budget for the period when the next union budget has not been passed. In terms of money related to external organizations, it would be paid anyway to preserve the reputation of the union. A detailed breakdown of items in budget should be demanded. He would help financial secretaries of sub organizations to avoid penalty for having too little money in bank account.
- EDSR asked if there were any measures to avoid honorary treasurer being forced to sign the claimant.
- FS considered there to be too many unknown factors in union budget, and Sylvia would not check the budget before passing the claimant forms because breakdown was not needed before, but at this stage, claimants must wait after the financial regulation was amended, or else Sylvia would not sign.
- AAR asked if FS got any plans to follow up last session's problem on co-operative store spending unreasonable money.
- FS replied Carol's signature would be needed in adding stock for co-operative stores.
- EDSR asked whether or not the sales of Centennial Hoodies were listed out in budget.
- FS stated that there was no budget drawn on cooperative store.
- LAR believed that co-operative store was also under the operation of union, should be given a section in budget.
- FS regarded the unexpected revenue of cooperative store and the impossibility in predicting as the main reason of choosing somebody working in it to monitor, instead of drawing a budget.
- LAR stated every company would draw a budget, it could increase the transparency.
- FS believed there should be no need to put it into financial regulations, if asked by councillors, FS must still answer anyway. He also regarded the auditing costs of 100000 dollars for once as very costly, in order to exchange for only several sheets of paper. Last time of auditing in the Union financial situation was 2007, it did point out some problems and comments, but not really necessary.
- RCLHR asked if there would still be a need to audit if changed to Limited Corporation.
- FS stated that it was in case the Union did not changed to Limited Corporation only. And he believed it would be useless to audit the statement of 5 years ago.
- UHR was worried that it would not be credible enough if the financial report was not audited.
- EDSR asked how about not following HKU to find that company auditing.
- FS pointed out that auditing in a bulk was already much cheaper, and he elaborated that letting a third party to take up the monitor work would be a bit weird.
- EDSR mentioned a specific case, if the hoodie production was not put in budget, why honorary treasurer would agree to let them claim.

- FS pointed out that honorary treasurer would definitely sign when no union organizations opposed so.
- EDSR pointed out that honorary treasurer only signed to confirm that co-operative store did use that amount of money.
- FS referred to co-operative store staff to confirm so.
- RCLHR asked where did the idea of no more auditing come.
- FS pointed out that the auditing fee made up 10-20% of the budget, but actually it was quite useless since the opinion was not given immediately.
- EDSR believed that honorary treasurer should be stricter, in order to avoid sudden huge spending on money.
- FS pointed out that if items were cut into too small sub-items, it would be clumsy since it would bother the council much.
- LAR asked if stock count would be included in an auditing.
- FS explained that staff from the auditing company would come for about 2 weeks, but mainly time would be spent on paper work, only checking if stock count fits with the money collected.
- EDSR was worried that staff might not understand much, but honorary treasurer regarded staff's signature as a sign of confidence.
- LAR found auditing necessary, since making up of budget and annual account are common, if not checked for too long, it would be unrecoverable.

Motion 18

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of Financial Secretary) , Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: WONG Yee Man (IVP)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 01:54

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 01:54

f. University Affairs Secretary

- UAS could not appoint university committee members in the first half of the term, due to the turmoil in changing of union session.

1) Main building

- UAS mentioned that the school gave a floor plan for main building, he was satisfactory towards their plan content, but not their way of consultation, which was just a notification.

2) University committee

- He recommended to find full member earlier, or else they would lose 3 months like what they faced now.

3) Library petition

- UAS mentioned that a history professor pointed out funding to library was not enough.

4) Sustainability office

- UAS reported that a scheme of collecting food residue at canteens was carried out.

5) Shuttle bus

- UAS regarded the inaccuracy and unsuitable time as the major problem.

Questions from Councillors:

- EDSR pointed out that the newly opened catering service provider was a bit expensive and with not enough seats.
- AAR suggested that if over 2000 questionnaire were provided, the canteen would respond, according to the case of City U.
- SSSR suggested asking people to do questionnaire at the scene.
- LAR asked for a report on the progress of vending machine installation.
- UAS reminded that business not related to catering must pay extra fee to government.
- WLHR wanted to follow up on the evaluation of trial run of the café.
- UAS reported that another survey was done in early October on the opinion on catering.
- LSKHR was concerned about the frequency of shuttle bus main campus to Stanley Ho Sports Center, he suggested increasing frequency at peak hours.
- UAS replied that they needed to talk to school and request them to increase the frequency.
- AAR regarded drinking water machine near to Bijas too far away from people. He also pointed out the problem of no booking of rooms on Saturdays.
- LAR also pointed out that the one in Law building was too difficult to be found.
- UAS pointed out that the UHS was afraid that water machine would spread germs.
- STHR asked if the location of water machines could be changed.
- UAS replied that it could be changed through re-installation.
- EDSR pointed out that many water machines were yet to be repaired.
- AAR pointed out the wi-fi problem, UG 208 still had no wi-fi provision.
- LAR pointed out that for the booking of the area outside large moot court, faculty and estate office were acting very bureaucratic.
- UAS replied that SU booking still needed to be done via CEDARS, perhaps only a bit faster than faculty.

Motion 19

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of University Affairs Secretary I), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: LEUNG Ching Him (EAS1)

Time Received: 02:42

No objection.
 Resolution: Motion Carried.
 Time Resolved: 02:42

g. External Affairs Secretary I

- EAS1 reported that he actually wanted to revitalize the atmosphere on political participation in the University.
- 1) Labour strike at Kwai Chung Terminal
 - EAS1 reported the joint faculty visit to Kwai Chung Terminal attracted around 30 people to come, and HKUSU wrote a letter to C.Y. Leung, with no response obtained.
- 2) Political Reform
 - EAS1 pointed out that he hoped to get more members involved through sub organizations. He wanted to involve even more experienced guests, since the current ones seemed to be not eye-catching enough.
- 3) June-Fourth Incident
 - EAS1 recommended that HKUSU could have taken even more initiatives, e.g. on the distribution of leaflets. The shuttle to University Hall was a bit too vacant, because the bus stopped there without the exact time notified.
 - AAR regarded the external executive committee members all working on similar stuff, he asked EAS1 if there would be more evaluation.
 - EAS1 pointed out that EVP was the leader after all, and CAS brought more idea to CAC.
 - AAR suggested publication could have political participation as the theme, then sub organizations might also help hasten up the atmosphere; the ad hoc issue would be under CAC's responsibility.
 - LAR pointed out that more members could have been invited to join in issues related to external affairs.
 - EDSR hoped that something could be done on keeping the atmosphere throughout the year.
 - SSSR hoped there would be a clearer distribution of forum host between sub organizations and HKUSU. He also wished the Union Executives could have bilingual written reports.

h. External Affairs Secretary II

- EAS2 reported that there was not much job distribution done among the external team.
- 1) The 24th anniversary of the June Fourth Incident
 - EAS2 regarded their taking up of office to be too late, only in April. The first thing done was the cleansing of the Pillar of Shame, with only few advocates appeared. She hoped there could be no need to wait for CAC in initiating the mechanism of responding to current affairs. In the Snowdon incident, she went to the demonstration with 2 CAC members, communication yet to be improved.
 - EAS2 recommended more graphs and picture to be put in the publication, and she regarded that Chinese was still used as the main medium.

- EDSR suggested more investigation into the fusion of Mainland Chinese and Hong Kong people in HK to be done.
- AAR believed that it would be impossible to not touch upon social affairs totally.
- SWHR asked for how the cooperation between CAC and JHCA was going.
- CAS replied that he met Joint Hall Social Affairs Secretaries when he first became an executive, to see if they need supports or not some main issues. But he found it difficult to have cooperation, because sometimes in High Table dinner, halls already could achieve the effect of promoting current affairs atmosphere, but they sounded reluctant to do so. In the future, when there were more functions, we would tell JHCA first.
- EDSR pointed out that members who were not interested in CA might be interested in something like volunteer service, it might fall in the work of EA.
- EVP believed that they needed to spend relatively more time on promoting social issues, and more parties' help would definitely be needed. The 20% active ones would push forward the remaining 80%. 10% in this 20% have become ex-co of sub organizations, the other 10% need to be trained.
- ECU suggested that no more forum and common ways to promote should be adopted.
- RCLHR believed that JHCA might help.
- EVP pointed out that the initiative to help hall invite more socially aware persons as high table dinner guests and invite hall residents to northeast tour received poor response.

Motion 20

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of External Affairs Secretary II), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: LEUNG Ching Him (EAS1)

Time Received: 03:21

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 03:21

j. Publications and Publicity Secretary

- PPS regarded her responsibility mainly on promotional work. She had worked on the tote bag, welfare promotion and current affairs publication designs. Regarding the change in constitution, she believed that was to let focus more on promotional work, which made PPS become quite busy a post. She might need to finish a pub work in 30 minutes.
- PPS recommended that the seat of PPS in the Undergrad to be cancelled, since its function was insignificant.
- AAR agreed with PPS and reminded that CRC should be responsible for carrying out PPS's suggestion in cancelling her position in Undergrad.

- SSSR suggested that the publicity methods should be more widespread in campus.
- LAR hoped that the Union could try to use ways other than poster to publicize.
- AAR asked when the next newsletter of HKUSU would be out.
- PPS replied that there would be 2 more issues in the coming semester.
- RCLHR suggested that there could be posters stuck in hall. And he appreciated the application CTV designed, and suggested that there could be an English platform for non-local students.
- SSSR suggested establishing a publication sub-committee.
- LAR considered RCLHR's suggestion as inspirational, since language would be the main problem yet to be solved in HKU.
- RCLHR wished that English could act as supplement.
- AAR asked whether union history would be recorded as stated in PPS' platform.
- PPS replied that it would be done in the 2nd semester, it would usually include only what happen that year, but she might write also on last year, since it worth marking down.

Motion 21

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of Publications and Publicity Secretary), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (PPS)

Seconder: WONG Yee Man (IVP)

Time Received: 03:35

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 03:35

a. President (cont.)

- EDSR asked if there would be any action taken if the past documents and money could not be chased.
- P replied that documents were nearly all found. For the financial issue, it had also been dealt with already, FS also reported.

Motion 22

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of President), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: LEUNG Ching Him (EAS1)

Time Received: 03:39

No objection.
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 03:40

Motion 23

The Half Yearly Report of Union Executive Committee (Section of External Affairs Secretary I), Session 2013 be received.

Proposer: LO John (AS)
Secunder: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time Received: 03:40
No objection.
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 03:40

7 A.O.B.

- AAR stated that the deadline for half-yearly report should be 31/8, he asked whether or not the council should regret PC1 for not handing in report and violating By-Laws, or it should wait till next CM so that he could have a chance to provide an explanation, perhaps PC4 could try to remind him. He stated that PC1 had already missed 3 times of the Council meeting already.
- ECU believed that the council could hand in regret motion already, as the infringement had already been done. He asked whether a regret motion should also be passed on PC2.
- EDSR asked if ex-co intended to hold a GP to chase for the responsibility of the ex-co of last session.
- P asked if it would be for condemnation.
- LAR suggested so.
- AAR also pointed out that the council regretted PP only on the attendance in council meetings, some full members were discontented about only regretting.

Motion 24

The Union Council notes, regrets and dissatisfies with the infringement of Union Council By-law and breach of duty of Chow Chun Ming, Popularly Elected Union Councillor I for failure to submit the half-yearly report.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)
Secunder: NGAI Ting Hong (SSR)

Time Received: 03:50

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 03:51

- AAR suggested that apart from publishing in Undergrad, the regret motion should also be posted on the Union Notice Board.
- DSR reminded that the format of Ex-co report should be uniform.

The meeting ended at 03:53 of 14/09/2013.

Prepared by,

Approved by,

Leung Lai Kwok Yvonne
Honorary Secretary
HKUSU Council, Session 2013

Li Wai Yan, Vivian
Council Chairperson
HKUSU Council, Session 2013