



Hong Kong University Students' Union
Council, Session 2013
6th Council Meeting [CM6]
Minutes

Date: 28/07/2013 (Sun)

Venue: Union Council Chamber, 2/F, Union Building

Time: 13:15

Attendance:

CC, HS, P, IVP, EVP, GS, UAS1, SWS, PPS, SS, AS, ICAP, CAP, HHR* (Early leave with apology), LCHHR (sub), LHHR (sub), LHTHR, LSKHR, SCSHR, SJCR (Early leave with apology), STHR, SWHR, UHR, WLHR, ASR, AAR, DSR, EDSR, ENSR, MSR (Early leave with apology), SSR, SSSR

Late:

FS (with apology), EAS2 (with apology), SAP (Early leave with apology), RSA1 (without apology), RCA1 (with apology), RCA2 (with apology), MHR* (without apology), RCLHR, RHR (with apology), SKYLHR (with apology), BEAR (without apology), LAR (with apology), ECU (with apology), PC4 (Early leave with apology)

Absent:

EAS1 (with apology), CAS (with apology), RSA2 (with apology), PP (without apology), PC1 (without apology), PC2 (without apology), PC3 (with apology), PC5 (with apology)

Section A

1. To read out the correspondences

Absent

EAS1 would be absent due to work.

RSA2 would be absent due to overseas internship program.

CAS would be absent due to health issue.

CTVC would be absent due to preparations of Cyberport Creative Micro Fund 2013.

PC3 would be absent due to personal issues.

PC5 would be absent due to overseas internship program.

Early Leave

HHR would leave early at 17:30 due to family issue

SJCR would leave early at 18:00 due to hall function.

MSR would leave early at 6p.m. due to an emergent family matter.

Late

FS would arrive late at around 14:30 due to family matters.

EAS2 would arrive late at around 16:45 due to family emergencies.

RCA1 would arrive late at around 14:30 due to part time job.

RCA2 would arrive late at around 14:00 due to personal matters.

SAP would be late for an hour due to emergency issue and leave at 17:00 due to a sport tournament.

RSA1 would arrive late at around 14:30 due to family issues.

RHR would arrive late at around 14:00 due to family issues.

SKYLHR would late for an hour for the council meeting due to preparation of the hall function tonight and need to leave at 1030pm for the hall function.

LAR would be late for an hour in CM6 due to personal issue.

ECU would arrive late at 2pm due to personal matter.

PC4 would arrive at about 13:30 and leave at about 16:30 due to personal matters.

Substitution

LCHHR will be substituted by Miss Chiu Pui Yan (UID: 3035057882), the internal vice-chairperson of Lee Chi Hung Memorial Hall Students' Association, HKUSU.

LHHR will be substituted by Mr. Cheung Tsz Ho, The chairman of Lee Hysan Hall Students' Association, HKUSU.

2. To receive the maiden speech of new councillor(s)
 - CC announced that the agendum was cancelled due to the absence of any new councilors.
3. To report the motion(s) carried by circulation
 - Two motions had been passed, one on the appointment of auditor and another on the passage of the minutes of the EGM1 of Morrison Hall.
4. To receive and adopt the agenda
 - EDSR asked about the feasibility to discuss on the agendum B12, when CTVc was not here.
 - CC stated that councillors might consider not adopting it this time.

Motion 1

To receive and adopt the agenda.

Proposer: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Seconder: Chau Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time received: 13:24

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:25

5. To receive and adopt the minutes of CM5

Motion 2

To receive and adopt the minutes of CM5 of HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: Chau Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:26

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:26

Section B

1. To appoint students representatives on University Committees

Careers Advisory Board

- Speaking rights granted to Ko Andrew.
- KO considered it too difficult to find internship, since school did not have enough support, and he personally would like to voice out more ideas.

Motion 3

To nominate Ko Andrew (UID:2010259128) as the member of Careers Advisory Board.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (USA1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:29

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:29

Committee on Catering

Motion 4

To appoint Tso Kwan Yi (UID:3035062095) as the member of Committee on Catering.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:30

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:31

- CC stated that in fact PC5 sent an explanatory email to all councillors, stating the reasons for joining the Committee on catering.

Disciplinary Committee

- Speaking rights granted to Tse Chi Ho Leo.
- TSE wanted to continue the participation in school affairs, and he would like to continue voicing out students' opinion, and monitor school policy-making.

Motion 5

To appoint Tze Chi Ho (UID:3035002473) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Choi Hon Pong (SWS)

Time received: 13:37

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:38

- Speaking rights granted to KAN Chi Cheung.
- KAN pointed out there were many undergraduates in Faculty of Engineering, thus they should have a representative in the disciplinary committee.

Motion 6

To nominate Kan Chi Cheung (UID:3035001144) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:38

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:38

Motion 7

To appoint Tang Laurence Yat Long (UID:2010033861), Wong Yee Man (UID:3035016577), Chow Yong Kang Alex (UID:3035018721), Chau Dickson Ka Faat (UID:2010006480), Hsu Yin Man (UID:3035005633), Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154), Wong Zoi Lam (UID:3035058733), Leung Ching Him (UID:3035074309), Choi Hong Pong (UID:3035020920), Leung Ngo Nun (UID:2011711018), Cheung Kar Ying (UID:2012616239), Yip Kwan Kit (UID:3035019880), Lo John (UID:2010256358) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: Ng Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: Ng Chi Hin (EDSR)

Time received: 13:39

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:40

Committee on Catering (cont.)

Motion 8

To appoint Wong Wing Long Leo (UID:3035055511) as the member of Committee on Catering.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:42

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:43

Computer Committee

Motion 9

To nominate Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as the member of Computer Committee.

Proposer: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Seconder: Choi Hong Pong (SWS)

Time received: 13:43

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:44

Disability Action Committee

Motion 10

To nominate Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as a member of Disability Action Committee.

Proposer: Cheung Kar Ying (SS)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:44

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:45

Discrimination Complaints Committee

Motion 11

To nominate Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as a member of Discrimination Complaints Committee.

Proposer: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Seconder: Chow Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Time received: 13:45

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:46

Equal Opportunity Committee

Motion 12

To nominate Wong Yee Man (UID:3035016571) as the member of Equal Opportunity Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei

Seconder: Chow Yong Kang Alex

Time received: 13:47

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:47

Committee of Management of the Institute of Human Performance

Motion 13

To nominate Lo John (UID:2010256358) as the member of Committee of Management of the Institute of Human Performance.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:48

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:49

Committee on Student Affairs

Motion 14

To nominate Tso Kwan Yi (UID:3035062095) as the member of Committee on Student Affairs.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:49

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:50

Library Committee

Motion 15

To nominate Kan Chi Cheung (UID:3035001144) as the member of Library Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:50

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:51

Committee on Personal Development and Counselling

Motion 16

To nominate Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as a member of Committee on Personal Development and Counselling.

Proposer: Chau Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:52

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:53

Committee on Student Amenities

Motion 17

To nominate Kan Chi Cheung (UID:3035001144) as the member of Committee on Student Amenities.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:54

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:54

Committee on Student Finance

Motion 18

To nominate Hsu Yin Man (UID:3035005633) and Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as the members of the Committee on Student Finance.

Proposer: Cheung Kar Ying (SS)

Seconder: Chow Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Time received: 13:55

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:55

University Health Services Committee

Motion 19

To nominate Lee Lin Tai Linus (UID:2010410265) as the member of University Health Services Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:57

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:58

First Year Experience Committee

Motion 20

To nominate Cheung Kar Ying (UID:2012616239) as the member of First Year Experience Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 13:59

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 13:59

eLearning Committee

Motion 21

To nominate Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as a member of eLearning Committee.

Proposer: Cheung Kar Ying (SS)

Seconder: Choi Hon Pong (SWS)

Time received: 14:00

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:00

Careers Advisory Board

Motion 22

To nominate Choi Hong Pong (UID:3035020920) and Tsang Chung Hei (UID:3035008154) as the members of Careers Advisory Board.

Proposer: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Seconder: Chow Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Time received: 14:01

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:01

Disciplinary Committee

Motion 23

To appoint Li Wai Yan, Vivian (UID:2011712787) as the member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: Tang Laurence Yat Long (P)

Second: Wong Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 14:02

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:02

Motion 24

To appoint Ng Chi Hin, Michael (UID:3035061106) as a member of Disciplinary Committee.

Proposer: Wong Wai Yan Phoenix (MSR)

Second: Ng Wai Ka (AAR)

Time received: 14:02

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:03

Committee on Student Amenities

Motion 25

To appoint Adrian Chan Hei Chun (UID:3035042538) to be the member of the Committee on Student Amenities.

Proposer: Chow Sai Cheung Calvin (RHR)

Second: Ho Chun Hin (UHR)

Time received: 14:06

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:08

Committee on Personal Development and Counseling

Motion 26

To nominate Lee Lin Tai Linus (UID:2010410265) as the member of Committee on Personal Development and Counselling.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Second: Tang Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 14:09

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:09

Academic Advisory Committee

Motion 27

To nominate Cheung Tsz Ho (UID:3035046754) as a member of Academic Advisory Committee.

Proposer: Tsang Chung Hei (UAS1)

Seconder: Lo John (AS)

Time received: 14:16

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:16

- CC reported on the appointment status of the University Committees.

Disability Action Committee: 1 vacancy, the appointed must be disabled

Disciplinary Board: 3 vacancies

Student Affairs: 1 vacancy

Student Amenities: 1 vacancy

2 To discuss the external affairs

- EVP requested councillors to help looking for ex-cos from subordinate organizations to have meetings. There would be meetings for agenda-setting held on the coming Wednesday and Thursday at 19:30 in Union Building, he encouraged the interested to attend, so that they could have more ideas on what would be happening on Saturday. He pointed out that various universities had set themes related to political reform in their orientation programs, CUHK PPA orientation camp would have its whole orientation camp on this, some halls might also consider to have a session promoting so. The whole Occupy Central deliberation series actually intended to be expanding from students' communities to other groups like social workers. If there turned out to be over 10000 people, it would be more influential.
- SSSR asked if there would be an official notice issued.
- EVP stated that there would be post to council group and notice through portal e-mails.

3 To endorse the press statement “致中華書局公開信” issued by Current Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013

- EVP reported that CAC had written a statement to urge Chung Hwa Book Co. (中華書局) to address on the matter, and an e-mail was concurrently sent to the professor involved. The professor appreciated their advocacy but there was no reply from Chung Hwa Book Co.
- ASR asked why the words “河蟹版” would be used.
- EVP identified that as a tactic to draw attention and regarded that as a commonly known phrase.
- ASR suggested that if formal tone was used throughout the statement, the wordings used should not be that funny.
- EDSR also questioned on the use of “自我閹割”.

- EVP confessed that the choice of wordings was a bit over, and promised to be more careful next time

Motion 28

To endorse the open letter “致中華書局公開信” issued by the Current Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: Chow Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Seconder: Cheung Kar Ying, Vanessa (SS)

Time received: 14:25

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time resolved: 14:29

- 4 To receive and adopt the amendment of the By-laws of HKUSU Council
- GS listened to Councillors' opinion and had made some changes upon the version put forth in CM5
 - AAR considered person eligible to hold office to have the right to run for election in nature, thus he suggested to use “suspend office”.
 - GS considered that as unclear, since it would be difficult to define how long to suspend. He believed it would be more reasonable to assume that he would not run in the election if himself is a member of UEC
 - MSR was concerned that “person” would not be limited to UEC members.
 - AAR expressed that he originally thought it referred to any person holding post in the Union. In the sentence of “committee members shall be vacated from his post”, he believed that there were some loopholes, e.g. the person could get himself nominated in the last day of the nomination period, when the arrangements had already been half-drafted.
 - GS stated that it would be quite difficult to prevent such situation, at least the arrangements later than nomination would be no longer interrupted by him. And the election arrangements to be approved by Union Council before getting into practical process, it could act as a gate.
 - CC wanted a clarification on the extent of suspension, whether or not the person would be still in office, only not involved in the work.
 - GS asked for referral to Section XIII (9), which stated
 - (0) A person is not eligible to hold office if he is nominated as a candidate in the elections mentioned above.
 - (1) Any Committee Member is not eligible to hold office if he is nominated as a candidate in the elections mentioned above.
 - (2) The office of any Committee Member shall be vacated upon he is nominated as a candidate in the elections mentioned above (till the completion of that particular election).
 - (3) The office of any Committee Member shall be terminated automatically once he is nominated as a candidate in the elections mentioned above.
 - EDSR queried that suspension would merely make others take up his original work, which would be unreasonable.
 - AAR also quoted the example of Allen Or being vacated, which was complete and clear.

- SS pointed out the difference between “duties” and “office”, the former referred to work, while the latter referred to post. She suggested using the word “upon” as conjunction.
- GS intended to use active voice in (1), so that the meaning would be clearer.
- EDSR pointed out that there would be time discrepancy between nomination form received and council meeting to vacate with motion, time lag would possibly lead to conflict of interest.
- SS suggested that the term of office shall be terminated upon the nomination, and there shall be no need for council to further endorse the vacation.
- Version (3) adopted.
- EDSR agreed that it would be unreasonable to use “govern” because Election regulations governed. In the later part, it has already bound to be in accordance with election regulation.
- GS believed that he should not have that much power still, since it couldn’t be rationalized.
- EVP pointed out that if “advise” was used, the power between UEC and other standing committees would be different
- GS pointed out that other standing committees also used “advise”, but it was true that other standing committees had more power, e.g. approving funds, issuing statements. UEC, instead, should focus on the pre-work of council, e.g. draft some election regulation amendments before council discuss together.
- DSR reminded that the design of ballot paper was not discussed in Union Council last year, for avoiding any out leak. If changed to “advise”, he queried if there would be a need to pass in public and transparency in Council.
- GS clarified that the proposal was to be approved by Council, some details like ballot design would not be included in proposal, so would not be passed in council either.
- CC asked what if there was a need to make changes in vote-counting method, or any decisions to be made in a brink, whether or not it would be possible to let the whole council decide in such emergency.
- ECU stressed the importance to enhance checks and balances; he suggested ways like using ½ majority in council to override standing committees’ decision.
- GS agreed to incorporate, but what if cc did not even call meetings.
- ECU pointed out that if the CC refused to call meeting, it seemed more useless to put all the decision making power in council anyway. It would be damaging the hierarchy, and make UFC less functional.
- AAR commented that the difference between “advise” and “govern” was not huge in practical term, the suggestion would be handed in to council no matter what. Under the new arrangement, no councillors would be members of Election Commission automatically, so some arrangements which should not be disclosed would not be suitable for being decided in Union council.
- DSR commented that the motion passed to ensure every decision of UEC needed to be passed in Council in ECM9 was a bit unfeasible.
- GS concluded that since no consensus could be attained at this stage, so he suggested to discuss later.
- ASR commented that it could not wait till when there are problems.

- LAR commented that the councilors should not rely on last year's situation to decide whether or not to change, especially if it would bring further difficulty to the operation. She commented that the problem of the last session might be on CC, but this year it could be others, it is the complete structure that needed to be modified.
- AAR regarded that to be the conflict in terms of reference of UEC in by-laws and election regulations. In by-laws, after the term of office had expired, UEC members could no longer speak in UEC, but in election regulations, his work in that specific election shall continue in that election.
- GS suggested adding in a line in the terms of reference of UEC in by-laws.
- AAR asked for the definition of "during the course of preparation for an election", originally it was "when election commission is established". He queried if it would be too late.
- GS considered after establishment of the Election Commission as a clear line, UEC did not necessarily use formal meeting as a means to discuss, thus it might not be clear cut. He suggested changing it to "after the first committee meeting which shall be held before the nomination period of that particular election commences".
- EDSR suggested splitting that into 2 articles, "shall convene the first meeting before the nomination period of that particular election commences" and "office of any Committee member shall continue automatically till the completion of a particular election when his term of office in the Union Council expire after the first Committee meeting".
- GS suggested putting into election regulations the limit of time of the first meeting to be convened.
- EDSR clarified that election regulations should not be used to state the rules of operation of UEC, where by-laws would be more suitable.
- AAR suggested adding that into Section VI Article 4, but he found it a bit weird to put a rule only binding on UEC into this section.
- ECU suggested add Article 9 in terms of reference.
- DSR asked for clarification on whether it should be 48 hours or 24 hours.
- GS reminded that constitution needed to be changed beforehand.

Motion 29

To receive and adopt the amendment of the By-laws of the HKUSU Council.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 16:49

Vote by 2/3 Majority

Total Vote: 41

For: 41

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 16:50

- 5 To receive and adopt the amendment of the Election Regulation of HKUSU
- GS reminded there were changes in Article 6.
 - P referred to Section IV Article 1, and asked why the part of GM/GP was included.
 - GS stated that it was just for clarification.
 - P pointed out that the bunch of sub-sections underneath had nothing to do with that sentence.
 - GS stated that it could be cut if the councillors found it too clumsy.
 - AAR asked whether the election for Undergraduate Membership in Broad of Faculties was included in election regulations.
 - CC clarified that the election for Undergraduate Membership in Broad of Faculties got its own election regulations.
 - ECU asked for the definition of “official notice” in Section XII Article 3.
 - GS stated that it could be defined on yearly basis.
 - ECU suggested that mass email should be the most desirable way of issuing “official notice” in the foreseeable future, he preferred it to be well defined to avoid controversy.
 - PC4 reminded that election regulation only stipulated the criteria but not clearly stated what means it referred to.
 - P stated that only mass email could be the channel reaching that many people.
 - DSR asked for the clearer definition for “after announcement”, whether or not it referred to the time central sent out the announcement.
 - ECU believed that the election regulation intentionally let students have 24 hours to digest the information, so receipt rule should apply.
 - GS referred to SECTION V Art 1, and indicated that it was copied from constitution to synchronize the two, but he thought it would be no need to delete from election regulation.
 - DSR referred to SECTION VIII Article 5, and indicated that 1 single key to be kept by CC would be a bit risky.
 - GS explained that no better methods could be thought of, so trusting CC was the only way to sort things out. He ensured that the ballot boxes could be broken if keys were lost.
 - ECU suggested stipulating the ways for official notice.
 - P suggested only mass emails to be counted, but not other ways of notice.
 - EDSR commented that appointing a person to keep keys might be too trivial. And he suggested that if CC was untrustworthy, $\frac{2}{3}$ majority could be used to overturn his/her decision.
 - DSR suggested changing “2 returning officers” to “all the returning officers”, since it might not be only 2 returning officers.

Motion 30

To receive and adopt the amendment of the Election Regulation of HKUSU.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time Received: 17:45

Vote by 2/3 Majority
Total Vote: 41
For: 41
Against: 0
Abstain: 0
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 17:47

- GS reminded that the amendments varied from PDF would be sent through e-mails.

6 To establish the Annual Election Commission 2014

- GS explained that the election commission would consist of the whole UEC, with CC as the official observer, and election officers would be appointed later. He would just like to have the Annual Election Commission 2014 established to ensure all arrangements to be smoothly discussed.

Motion 31

To establish the Annual Election Commission 2014.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)
Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)
No objection.
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 17:49

- 7 To discuss the nomination arrangement of Annual Election 2014
- GS briefed on the important dates and concluded that there would be 124 days for nomination, including holidays. The receiving of nomination forms would be closed in general holidays and university holidays (Christmas Eve and New Year Eve). Copy of UID would be required to be handed in in order to avoid imitation of nominees.

Motion 32

To receive and adopt the nomination arrangement of Annual Election 2014.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)
Seconder: WONG Yee Man (IVP)
Time Received: 17:58
No objection.
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 17:58

- AAR reminded that there was a typo found. It should be "Chairperson OR Vice-Chairperson of CTV".
- 8 To discuss the future development of the Union Building
- IVP stated that some spaces on foyer were used to provide service to students, some shops found included Starbucks, I-Corners, computer service center and a social enterprise station (catering service), which was a bit different from the one on Run Run Shaw Podium. Since the info on contract year and sponsorship was a bit sensitive, she requested councilors not to leak it out.
 - EDSR asked how the amount of sponsorship was decided.
 - IVP stated that it was decided by ex-co of last session.
 - AAR asked what the difference between I-Corner and Computer Service Center would be.
 - IVP classified that mainly as the difference in brand.
 - P used contract with main library Starbucks as reference, it was only signed this year although it had been opened for a year already. Other contracts were also signed only in the previous year. Before that, they were just running with the award letter after tendering, he preferred signing shorter contract so that future ex-co could have their own development plan, originally it was intended to sign a 3-year contract with Computer Service Center, bargained into 2-year.
 - STHR asked for the method to calculate sales commission.
 - IVP concluded that there could be different percentage in different shops, and in proportion with their size of sales.
 - MHR asked if there was any discount for HKU students.
 - IVP answered that there was a 30%off discount given to HKU members in Starbucks, and discount was also given in I-corners.
 - P reminded that the sales commission was calculated year by year.
 - IVP explained the current situation as there was an award letter given to 7-11 Convenience store in the tension carried out in the 2011 session. There would be several concern making the running of such store quite unfeasible, including the termination of air-conditioning after 23:00 on foyer, and the security concerns which meant that communication with Estate Office and Security Office would be needed. Theoretically, HKUSU was not renting out the shops, but asking for sponsor and being manager (bear waiver fee) in name, since no commercial operation could be carried out in university area. The waiver fee was solved in some cases, e.g. ParknShop, and it could be automatically waived if the shop served the main purpose as providing catering services, e.g. Starbucks. She reminded that there was no legal responsibility to let the 7-11 store to be opened yet, since there were no contracts signed yet, and tenders were only invitation to treat.
 - SKYLHR asked why a need for waiver fee would be there.
 - P explained that the school policy would only consider catering service as necessary to be present in campus.
 - LAR asked how the waiver fee of ParknShop was handled.

- STHR asked if the waiver fee could be split into 12 months and be incorporated into the rental fee.
- P considered that as possible but then running a store in HKU might not be as attractive then.
- SWS provided another alternative as ex-co set up a co-operative concessionary store themselves. He compared with other universities and stated that HKU did not have this kind of stores. He mentioned some of the advantages of this choice as the goods being sold could be cheaper and other services could be flexibly added in. He stated that the the financial plan had not yet been finished, but several hundred thousand dollars might be needed for refurbishing, he suggested cooperating with welfare secretaries in other universities.
- ASR stated that the need might mainly be on groceries stuff.
- SWS explained that the shop could include whatever students needed.
- SKYLHR asked if 24-hour operation must not be feasible then.
- SWS observed no such demand.
- P stated that it would depends on how many students had such need, if the degree of necessity was high enough, he would reflect to school.
- SSSR stated there was a lack of shops providing 24 hour service.
- SWS reminded that a vending machine for food could be found in east gate. He reminded that the highest cost would be on salary, and quoted the shops near hall in HKBU as an example, it was closed at 24:00 daily, and an extra \$60 would be paid to the last roster.
- IVP stated that the special arrangement in exam period could be adopted, it would be quite flexible since it was only run by students.
- ECU asked if there could be sponsors subsidizing the running of Union, he believed there would be not much need for concessionary.
- AAR asked if questionnaire would be given on the use of such last shop space.
- SWS agreed that the decision would be made depending on what is lacking.
- SSSR revealed the opinion of social sciences students as ParknShop being too far away from centennial campus, thus desired a shop that sold groceries. He also stated the Co-operative store might close too early.
- IVP concluded that the cooperation with 7-11 was difficult to continue. The price elasticity was only 16.5%, but if it was run by ex-co, it could be more flexible.

Motion 33

To nullify the decisions made related to cooperation with the Dairy Farm Company limited based on the tender proposal submitted by the Dairy Farm Company Limited dated on 7th July 2011.

Proposer: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Seconded: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 18:48

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 19:07

- LAR asked if any legal liability would come from the award letter of the tender.
 - P stated that it required further contracting. And the award letter was given in 2011, with effectiveness remained only 180 days, which had already passed for some time. He considered the best reason for abandoning the tendering result would be the reluctance to pay the waiver fee.
 - LAR was concerned that revoking the tendering result before collecting opinion would be inappropriate.
 - GS preferred HKUSU not to be involved in so much legal responsibility issue.
 - IVP stated that the 2011 session of HKUSU central ex-co HKUSU did not mention the waiver fee issue to 7-11 during the tendering.
 - SSSR reminded that the motion was to nullify the tender carried out in 2011, but not to exclude any possibility for opening a convenience store.
 - EDSR considered it would be meaningless to nullify if students turned out to prefer a convenience store.
 - ASR commented that it might not be 7-11 even if they preferred a store in this style, and the tender was a problematic one which should not be relied on.
 - SSSR stated that the students in session 2011, who showed that they had a need towards convenience stores, might have graduated already.
 - LAR reminded that the motion was to nullify and restart the discussion, but it did not necessarily imply a union self-run to be opened.
- 9 To establish the Staff Recruitment Committee
- AS explained that at least one more staff in office, and possibly two or three more for co-operative store might be recruited. In previous sessions, it was only decided by several ex-co, but transparency was intended to be improved.

Motion 34

To establish the Staff Recruitment Committee, the Hong Kong University Students' Union Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 19:10

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:11

Motion 35

To appoint Sham Kwan Ho (UID: 3030545736) as a member of the Staff Recruitment

Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 19:11

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:11

Motion 36

To appoint Chow Sai Cheung Calvin (UID: 3035015377) as a member of the Staff Recruitment Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 19:12

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:12

Motion 37

To appoint Yiu Hoi Ling (UID: 3035065308) as a member of the Staff Recruitment Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time Received: 19:12

No objection.

Resolution: Motion Carried.

Time Resolved: 19:13

10 To review the Union Council attendance

- IVP suggested asking for explanation from those who were frequently absent.
- CC read out her letter to councilors.

11 To receive Executive Committee reply to enquires from PC5, WONG Wing Long Leo

- P stated that it had been mentioned in previous meetings already, that the Judicial Review was not started. The stance of the university had been submitted to the Police, and hopefully HKUSU could avoid spending money on Judicial Review. For the lawyers appointed in CM2, it cost \$8000. He circulated the letters from lawyers to councillors.

- UHR asked what would happen if Registry office turned out to disregard the ex-co status as the office holder, whether the Judicial Review would be cancelled.
 - P must wait for decision from labor tribunal to decide further actions.
 - UAS1 asked for referral to the written reply.
 - SWS stated that it cost around \$8000 and would be installed in the coming week, councillors would be asked to have trial. After meeting with CUHK Architectural Society, there would small opportunity to buy the textbook in bulk. He also reported that there were 3 discounts plan negotiated. The negotiating with an Italian restaurant and Marathon were still ongoing. Ex-co were very discontented with PC5 for the following reasons:
 - He was unreasonable to ask HS to translate the questions into English for him.
 - He was unreasonable to ask ex-co to reply in both Chinese and English, while he himself was only explaining his platform mainly in Chinese only.
 - Updates on Ex-co page could already answer his questions, thus it could not be understood why he did not notice and waste councillors' time.
 - SSR asked what the role of Union Ex-co in the second hand book forum was.
 - SWS stated that they were just facilitators.
 - ASR asked if there were any conflicts and disputes arisen in the course of dealing, whether or not Union Ex-co would be involved in the remediation.
 - SWS stated that only transaction completed face-to-face would be encouraged.
 - EDSR stated that all discount sponsors were related to restaurant at this stage, he asked if there would be any related to fashion.
 - SWS stated that he was dealing with marathon, and would try to search for more, although it was a bit difficult to have negotiation with this type of providers.
 - AAR highlighted on the identity of university students. He regarded bookstores, especially small-scale and traditional ones would be reciprocal.
 - RCA1 believed that the ex-co should ask the shops to indicate discount to HKU students in large scale at their shops.
 - SWS concerned that it might be unfeasible since other universities and institutes might ask for sponsors if they could see the sponsorship they gave to HKU. The central ex-co would announce through mass email and every possible means.
 - RCA2 asked if SWS would continue to communicate with other subordinate organizations, after CUHK Architectural Society declined collective order.
 - SWS replied there would be no such plan at this stage.
- 12 To adopt the financial budget of Campus TV and Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013
- ECU stated that CTVU wished that ECU could present for him, since the financial budgets of the campus media were to be passed in a hurry, for example Undergrad was already owing the printing fee of two issues. The spending was \$251411, \$1000 more than budget, but still to a large extent fit the media fund.

Motion 38

To receive and adopt the Financial Budget of Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)
Secunder: TJANG Ming Wai (STHR)
Time Received: 19:53
No objection.
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 19:53

Motion 39

To receive and adopt the Financial Budget of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)
Secunder: TJANG Ming Wai (STHR)
Time Received: 19:53
Resolution: Withdrawn

- EDSR stated that he hoped breakdown of huge sums could be provided.
- ECU stated that once the 2 campus media had an aggregate sum that fit the media fund, then it would be acceptable. It would be more reasonable to wait for CTVC's return, since CTV was not in a situation as urgent as Undergrad.
- GS also raised the question that, whether buying equipment should be applying for media fund or CI fund.

Motion 40

To withdraw motion No. 39.

Proposer: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)
Secunder: TJANG Ming Wai (STHR)
Time Received: 19:58
No objection.
Resolution: Motion Carried.
Time Resolved: 19:58

13 A.O.B.

- EVP invited all subordinate organizations to work together on external affairs. He quoted that HKUSU once attracted even the national leader Zhao Ziyang to reply our letter, he believed we could make a change by collaborating efforts.
- AAR asked if there were other ex-co presenting report.
- FS pointed out the so-called "financial report" must be audited first, since there were 3 years in total (2008-2011), more time would be needed.
- EDSR suggested the attendance of full members in standing committees should be reviewed in Union Council also.

- EAS2 pointed out that indeed in CAC there were many times of not enough quorum, she would remind CAS to review next time.
- EDSR asked if the seat for full members would be re-appointed if the situation continued.
- P pointed out that once they decided to run for election, overseas internship would already be unreasonable. He may consider removal from office.
- CC wanted a review inside the respective standing committee with explanation first, then review in next CM.
- SWS would collect opinion for shop types.
- RCA2 asked for the reason not to consider to buy in bulk.
- SWS stated that it would be difficult to search and buy, and when the quantity was huge the number of faculty members might be too small in proportion.
- RCA1 asked when AS could reply to CA organizations' orientation tee design.
- AS preferred that to be asked in private.

The meeting ended at 20:11.

Prepared by,

Approved by,

Leung Lai Kwok Yvonne
Honorary Secretary
HKUSU Council, Session 2013

Li Wai Yan, Vivian
Council Chairperson
HKUSU Council, Session 2013