



**Hong Kong University Students' Union Council,**  
**Session 2013**  
**3<sup>rd</sup> Council Meeting [CM3]**  
**Minutes**

Date: 19/4/2013 (Fri)

Venue: G/F Foyer, Union Building

Time: 21:20

Attendance:

CC, HS, P, IVP, EVP, GS, FS, USA1, EAS1, EAS2, PPS, SS, CAS, AS, ICAP, CAP, RSA1, RSA2, RICA1, RICA2, RCA1, RCA2, HHR\*, LCHHR, LHHR (Early Leave with apology), LHTHR, MHR\*, RCLHR, RHR (Early Leave with apology), SCSHR, SJCR, SKYLHR, STHR (Early Leave with apology), UHR, WLHR, ASR, AAR, BEAR, DSR, EDSR, ENSR, LAR, MSR, SSR, SSSR, ECU, CTVC, PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4, PC5 (Early Leave with apology)

Absent:

SAP (with apology), PP (without apology)

Late:

SWS (with apology), LSKHR (Early Leave without apology), SWHR (without apology)

0. Meeting called to order and Sing the Union Song

**Section A**

1. Correspondences

- SWS needed to be late for 1 hour, due to a voluntary service workshop.
- SAP needed to be absent, due to family matters.
- LHHR needed to leave early at 4:30am, due to family issues on 20/4.
- STHR needed to leave early at 4:30am, due to a field trip on 20/4.
- PC5 needed to leave early at 1am, due to personal matters.

2. To receive the maiden speech of new councillor(s)

- P wished the councillors could work together well, and together make the council functioning efficiently.
- IVP hoped that it would be the last time the Union Council needed to have meeting in foyer, and the Council Chamber could be ready before the next council meeting.
- EVP regretted that no current affairs issues could be discussed in the union council in the last council session, and hoped that this would not repeat in Session 2013.
- GS wished that the annual election arrangements would be discussed in the council earlier, and he could improve the mechanism of council document storage together with the Honorary Secretary.
- FS wished that high sum of expenditure of the Union in this session could all be settled through the council, to increase transparency.
- UAS wished councilors could help collecting opinion from Union Full Members on university affairs.
- EAS1 hoped that councillors could be equipped with better debating skills to increase the quality of discussion.
- EAS2 was determined to represent students' stances.
- PPS wished councilors could all help write on union history.
- SS wished to realize all massive social functions stipulated in the platform.
- CAS wished that council members could all help with council business.
- AS wished to settle all chaos in administrative matters in the previous session.
- RSA1 introduced himself as the Administrative Secretary of SA, and he wished to learn more in the Union council.
- RSA2 introduced herself and expressed her friendliness to the council.
- PC1 hoped that he could become a communication bridge between students and the council, and he wished that the PEUC room could be ready soon.
- PC2 wished to exchange opinions more with other councilors.
- PC3 hoped councillors could learn from each other.
- PC4 requested other councilors to kindly remind if he made any mistakes.
- PC5 apologized for a longer maiden speech. He wished to reflect opinions from students to the council, which include mainly 4 points:
  - 1) No checks and balances had been introduced in the Council yet
  - 2) Council and SU's usage of mass email were not monitored by the internal regulation in clear terms yet
  - 3) Some councilors might be represented with huge popular consent while some might have smaller representation
  - 4) PCs shall meet union full members more, with the PEUC room ready as soon as possible.
- ECU hoped that as the 61<sup>st</sup> executives of the Undergrad, he could continue in realizing the motto of "careful reflection and courageous in speaking one's mind" and striking a balance between reporting as a media and discussing as a councillor.

- CTVC wished to act as a communication bridge for the students in bringing in their opinions while in return, reporting Union messages genuinely.
  - RCA1 wished to have the support in cultural activities from councillors.
3. To report the motion(s) carried by circulation
- CC reminded councillors to contact her or HS, shall there be any problems in the motions carried by circulation.
4. To receive and adopt the agenda
- CC reported on some intended amendment she would like to make to the original draft:
    - 1) Original agenda 6-9 would be moved forward to 3-6
    - 2) Original agendum 13 would be moved forward to 7
    - 3) Original agendum 16 would be moved forward to 8
    - 4) Original agendum 15 would be moved forward to 9
    - 5) Original agenda 3-5 would be postponed to 11-13
    - 6) Original agenda 11-12 would be postponed to 14-15
    - 7) Original agendum 14 would be postponed to 16
    - 8) Add in agendum 17 "To review the affiliation applications from Korean Society to HKUSU"
    - 9) Original agendum 17 would be postponed to 18

Motion 1

To receive and adopt the amended agenda.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Time received: 21:43

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:43

5. To receive and adopt the minutes of CM2

**Motion 2**

To receive and adopt the minutes of CM2.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Second: YEUNG Yat Yee Melody (ICAP)

Time received: 21:44

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:44

**Section B**

1. To appoint executive committee members of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013

**Motion 3**

To appoint AU Man Lok (UID: 3035061223) as Director, Department of General Production of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Second: HO Wing Sze (RICA1)

Time received: 21:45

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:45

**Motion 4**

To appoint Chan Morian (UID: 30305068398) as Director, Department of General Production of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Second: HO Wing Sze (RICA1)

Time received: 21:46

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:46

Motion 5

To appoint Lung Hoi Yan (UID: 3035048532) as Director, Department of News of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)  
Seconder: HO Wing Sze (RICA1)

Time received: 21:47  
No objection  
Resolution: Motion Carried  
Time resolved: 21:47

Motion 6

To appoint Lee Ka Ho (UID: 3035047980) as Director, Department of News of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)  
Seconder: HO Wing Sze (RICA1)

Time received: 21:47  
No objection  
Resolution: Motion Carried  
Time resolved: 21:47

Motion 7

To appoint Ng Kar Yiu (UID: 3035061522) as Director, Department of News of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)  
Seconder: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Time received: 21:48  
No objection  
Resolution: Motion Carried  
Time resolved: 21:48

Motion 8

To approve Cheung Ka Po (UID: 3035048178) as Publication and Publicity Secretary for Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 21:48

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:50

Motion 9

To appoint Leung Yi Qing, Evangeline (UID: 3035048104) as Director of News of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Time received: 21:50

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:50

2. To appoint Editorial Board members of Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013

Motion 10

To approve the appointment of Lee Jack Kai Tik (UID: 3035040322), Tse Kam Yi (UID: 3035052741), Lau Kei Yue (UID: 3035059335) to be Editors, Feature Department of the Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 21:51

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:52

Motion 11

To approve the appointment of Lee Wai Ling (UID: 3035062033), Lee Ka Shun (UID: 2010323151), Chan King Yan (UID: 3035044201) to be Editors, News Department of the Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 21:52

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:53

Motion 12

To approve the appointment of Lee Kai Tik (UID: 3035040322) to be the Publicity Secretary, the Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 21:53

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:54

Motion 13

To approve the appointment of Wong Lok Tung (UID: 3035060566), Kwok Shu Ki (UID: 3035051981), Mark Cheuk Yan (UID: 3035045994) to be Editors, Cultural Department of the Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 21:54

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:55

Motion 14

To approve the appointment of Wong Sze Wing (UID: 3035050080) to be Art Director, and Chung Ka Ho (UID: 3035046948) to be Honorary Secretary of the Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 21:55

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 21:56

3. To receive and adopt the annual report of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2011

- Speaking rights was granted to Mr. AU KA CHUN, CTVC of Session 2011.
- Mr. Au introduced that the work of CTV in session 2011 could be divided into mainly 3 streams, which include:
  - 1) advertisement
  - 2) multi, e.g. for sub-organizations, 28 in total
  - 3) news, e.g. council, occupy centralTelevision devices were installed in main campus, dental campus and medical campus, while installation in centennial campus, including canteen were also in their plan. However, due to the failure in communicating well with producers of the television sets, the installation was delayed.
- PC5 asked whether or not there was a financial report of session 2011.
- AU indicated that there was a passed budget.
- PC5 asked where the report was.
- AU replied that some budget items were not passed. And due to the fact that SU session and the CTV session were different, so it was already the report.
- PC5 indicated that since the reports from sessions 2011 and 2012 had identical titles, he failed to distinguish the two.



#### Motion 15

The annual report of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2011 be received.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 22:05

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 22:06

#### 4. To receive and adopt the annual report of Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2011

- Speaking right was granted to Ms. MOK KWAN NING, the ECU of Session 2011.
- MOK apologized for reporting to the council so late.

In session 2011, 3 issues of undergrad were published, together with 3 special issues and 3 activities held.

The 1st issue was the April edition, which was similar to a half-yearly report.

The 2nd issue was the August edition, published on registration day. It was special in the sense that orientation was used as a special theme.

The 3rd issue was the November edition that included more news on the Vice Chancellor Mr. Tsui Lap Chee and themes like freedom of press, it consisted of more pages but 5000 copies were still published.

Concerning the special issues, apart from the usual June-Fourth edition, there were more special editions on 818 incident, which they invited Mr. Wai, the Honorary Secretary of the HKU Council and Dr. Albert Chau, the Dean of Students' Affairs for interviews.

The activities they organized were mainly on orientation issues, including recruitment for editing workshop, which they invited professionals to have sharing. As usual, tea gatherings were also organized.

Having a simple evaluation on the performance of the Executives of Session 2011, their work was satisfactory: although fewer issues were published than before, i.e. the last issue was not published, but if special editions were also to be counted as 1 issue, they had produced 6 publications in total. Nevertheless, the joint University publishing plan was a failure due to the difficulties in cooperating among universities.

She described that as a hard year, and the Executives were "wading across the stream by feeling the way".

- PC5 questioned on why the reports of session 2011 would be passed so late.
- MOK indicated that it was the problem of the then council.

- CC provided information that there were few council meetings in the Union Council, Session 2012, so the passing of reports were delayed.

#### Motion 16

The annual report of Undergrad, HKUSU , Session 2011 be received.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 22:19

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 22:20

#### 5. To receive and adopt the annual report of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2012

- Speaking Right was granted to Mr. WONG CHUN LONG, the IV of CTV, Session 2012.
- WONG introduced himself as BA II. He described the year as a great challenge to act as a school media to enhance students' knowledge over university affairs.  
He firstly introduced the value of CTV, as enjoying independence from SU Central Ex-cos. He then emphasized on the virtue and importance of freedom of press. The executives faced quite a number of difficulties, the CC of Session 2012, Mr. Tam Chun Sing tried to deprive the executives from their proposed power, and deliberately delayed the approval of new resources, for example the U-vision had spaces for new television sets, but the construction was delayed also. He believed that the responsibility of the media was to give new values to issues, and news value and importance of issue were of utmost important concerns when considering whether or not the CTV would broadcast, upholding this principle led to their declining towards the request of the Union Elections Committee, Session 2012 in having direct broadcast during the counting of votes in the By-election of Annual Election 2013. Thirdly he moved on the insufficient assistance given to media work. The executives had much limitation when reporting on registration day. The SU Central Ex-cos did not have clear guidelines and designated channels on the release of information. He reminded councillors not to neglect freedom of press, simply due to an intention to evade responsibility. He believed that councillors should consider CTV as a channel to communicate with full members, and treasured it instead.

Motion 17

The annual report of Campus TV, HKUSU, Session 2012 be received.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 22:32

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 22:32

6. To receive and adopt the annual report of Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2012

- Speaking right was granted to Mr. TZE CHI HO, the ECU in Session 2012.
- TZE wished that his recommendation in this report could become resolution as stated in by-laws. He reported that there were 437 pieces of instant news reported in the last session, 189 of which were in the second semester. The executives issued one statement on supporting the Southern Weekly. The two issues of Undergrad in the second semester were titled “一覺醒來” and “殖民時代”, in which new columns were introduced. There were more words in the issues, with contents like reporting university affairs, e.g. the accident in the University Dental Clinic. The budget of Undergrad failed to be passed in the Union Council due to the CC of session 2012, Tam Chun Sing's breach of duties. He reported that there were over-budget observed in the 2 issues in second semester, as there were much more detailed reports on Union affairs, the over-budgets were \$720 and \$2200 respectively. It was stipulated in the financial regulation that, fund with \$10000 as upper limit could be applied for, but actually the cost of printing each issue of Undergrad could be up to \$30000, the amount of funding was too little. He would further recommend remedies in the recommendation session. He moved on to report that ECU lost rights to move and second motion in CM1, according to Mr. Tam Chun Sing's interpretation of the By-Laws. He dedicated his thanks to PS of Session 2012, for his respect towards the independence of Undergrad, but he queried on whether PS should be a member of Undergrad executives. He apologized for the typo in the recommendation part in the report, Section 3 IX was quoted instead of XI.
- CC recommended passing the annual report before moving on to recommendation.

Motion 18

The annual report of Undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2012 be received.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 22:42

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 22:42

- TZE recommended passing such recommendations in the form of motions.

Firstly on freedom of press, he regretted that Undergrad was recognized as advertisement during registration day, so they could not be distributed in campus, but had to be distributed outside the campus instead. He quoted on an event in 1969, when Undergrad was under the SU Central Ex-cos, the executives also wrote on something appalled the Central ex-cos, but the council passed a resolution that independence of Undergrad shall be respected. The executives of the last session were irritated by some councilors in the last council session, who suggested discussing and commenting on the stance of executives bit by bit in council.

Secondly he suggested that if some motions were not to be circulated, the respective items should be discussed in the next meeting, but CC might breach his duty with the existing loopholes in the By-Laws, thus he suggested making it a CC's duty with passing a motion.

Thirdly since the nomination of an annual election should be opened on the 1st school day of a new school year, as stipulated in the Election Regulation, he suggested the council to pass the nomination arrangement before that day.

Fourthly he suggested the Union Finance Committee to discuss on the upper limit of funding in the coming session.

Finally he believed that ECU and CTVC were not speaking members, but only non-voting members, thus should have the rights to move and second. For example the report being reported at this moment shall be passed with a motion proposed by ECU himself.

Motion 19 (IMPORTANT MOTION)

港大學生會堅決捍衛校外及校內新聞自由。

Proposer: CHOW Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Seconder: WONG Yee Man (IVP)

Time received: 22:50

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 22:52

- EVP wished that this motion could be passed as an important motion. He suggested that the former Justice Bokhary also mentioned freedom of press as the most important. He believed that the whole of HK should not move backward on the road of protecting freedom
- ASR stated that concerning the second recommendation, it would be a bit vague on the definition of “immediate”.
- TZE said that actually such mechanism was already stipulated in Section K of the Standing Orders, his suggestion was just to have a deadline set.
- AAR queried that in terms of enforcement, councillors might not know when the motions were received by CC.
- PC1 agreed that the definition of “immediate” was really vague, he suggested the issue to be passed to CRC for review first.
- TZE indicated that there should be a clarification on standing orders, and he was willing to open the discussion to councilors’ suggested amendments.
- LAR suggested that each session of the Union council might have different approach, depending on the CC’s personal preference, she suggested passing a motion forcing cc to reply, which should be without setting a fixed deadline.
- ASR agreed to have a motion without a fixed deadline.
- SSR returned to the question that councillors could not confirm whether or not CC could receive.
- CC reminded that the mechanism of reconfirmation was set already in CM1, reply would be given to councillors for the confirmation of receiving motions by circulation.
- AAR suggested cutting the procedure for CC to decide on whether or not the circulation motion was suitable or not.
- TZE reminded that such interpretation was actually an amendment to the Standing Orders, which needed to be suggested to council 5 days or more before the discussion.
- PC5 suggested having a special session for discussion on that in the next CM.
- TZE clarified that his intention was to recommend the Council to have a review on that section.

- AAR requested Tze's suggestion on whether or not it was necessary to have another meeting for the discussion.
  - TZE stated that it was up to the councillors.
  - MSR proposed not to deal with this suggestion in CM3, but referred it to CRC first.
  - PC5 suggested changing the wordings in the motion, i.e. changing "need to" into "should".
  - LCHHR suggested to move on to next agenda, and pass the matter directly to CRC, due to the long agenda for CM3.
  - CC reminded that CRC did not have the power to discuss on standing order, thus that needed to be discussed in the next CM.
- 
- GS agreed with TZE's suggestion on finishing the adoption of nomination arrangement before the first day of semester, he believed that it was actually just enforcing what the constitution had stipulated, and since nomination arrangement were just simple decisions, it would be highly possible.
  - ASR asked whether or not approval of the the result of the discussion in UEC should also be approved by council within the deadline.
  - AAR clarified that ECU's intention should be able to open nom on 1st day of nom, so that should also be included.
  - DSR reported that all decision of UEC should be passed in UC, decided in ECM9 I.
  - CC considered this motion as a safety precaution that would not give a chance for UEC to solely decide on any arrangement
  - PC5 asked what the UEC was supposed to do afterwards, whether or not the conclusion would be announced after having consensus.
  - MSR stated that the action plan itself would include notification, so there would be no need to be discussed here now then in Council.
  - TZE understood exactly the same with GS, and stated that there would be working plans drafted by UEC.
  - CC agreed that there should be no discussion on the details of administrative work plan for this motion, but the fact that they should have working plans
  - PC1 queried if new students might have access to portal only after 1/9.
  - CC grouped that also as practical problem.
  - SSSR provided information that portal email would be accessible in August.
  - EDSR asked for the differences for such a motion to be passed, and the existing regulation in part A, section 4 Nomination of the Election Regulation.
  - TZE reinforced that this motion would just help facilitate the observation of constitution.

#### Motion 20

選舉委員會應在每學年首個教學日前達成所有提名安排。

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 23:21

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 23:21

- FS asked if the fund arrangement should be discussed and approved by UFC, then pass in next CM.
- TZE confirmed yes.
- FS agreed and found that reasonable.

#### Motion 21

評議會須在校園傳媒的周年預算在財委會通過後在下一次評議會常務會議予以表決。

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time received: 23:27

- SSR asked why that would only be ordinary council meeting. He suggested amending the motion to all CM in order to avoid repetition of 2012, under which only few CMs were called.
- AAR stressed that ECM should serve only specific purpose.
- RCLHR asked whether the financial budget could not be approved in ECM if this motion was passed.
- SCSHR expressed that this motion should only act as one more suggestion, the earlier option would count.
- GS agreed that ordinary council meeting would better, with the deadline for handing in working papers present, the councillors could have more time to study the working papers than ECM.
- TZE suggested that the earlier counts, if the approval of council was really urgent, that could be passed in an ECM, it was only intended to increase the flexibility. He suggested an amendment- 不遲於下次常務會議予以表決.

Motion 22

To request a recess of 5 minutes.

Proposer: YU Lok Nam (SWHR)

Seconder: HO Chun Hin (UHR)

Time received: 23:36

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 23:36

- The meeting was reconvened at 23:47.

Motion 23

To withdraw the motion on table.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time received: 23:47

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 23:48

Motion 24

評議會須在校園傳媒的周年預算於財委會通過後最遲於下一次評議會常務會議予以表決。

Proposer: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Seconder: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Time received: 23:48

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 23:49

- CTVC hoped to include CTVC in the motion when giving back campus media representatives the rights to move and second.
- TZE raised the fact that in the by-laws 2011 edition, there were the words “non-voting” in bracket after the campus media representatives. A councillor should enjoy 3 rights, i.e. right to vote, right to move and second and right to speak. He believed that not having the right to



vote would not lead to exclusion of another right, he illustrated this with the example of HS. He further emphasized on the importance of the rights to move and second, for example it would not only be ECU and CTVC's rights, but also responsibilities to appoint their own executive members. He could not understand why the non-voting councillor could not enjoy the right to move and second, which even an official observer enjoyed.

- CC provided information that originally CTVC and ECU are councillors with no voting rights, and there was no definition on official observer in the By-Laws. While HS, CTVC, ECU are councillors, official observers and school senators were only members of council.
- IVP wanted to clarify whether or not CTVC and ECU could call meeting.
- LCHHR believed that the right to call meeting implied intervening the running of council.
- TZE suggested that actually representative of campus media could not be absolutely neutral in council, for example for passing report of their own, they should be the one moving.
- PC1 asked about other non-voting councillors like HS.
- TZE suggested even HS enjoyed the right to move and second motion.
- PC1 wanted a specification on the rights for non-voting councillors, so that the right for all non-voting members can be consistent.
- TZE considered that as inappropriate, he believed that the motion should not be in the sense of adding rights for representatives from media, but only complying with by- laws.
- LCHHR suggested passing the discussion to CRC/ CRWG.
- TZE clarified that CRWG should be working on restructuring the Council, if the issue was to be discussed there implied an addition of rights.
- ECU expressed that the existence of a council is for debating on issues, and rights to move and second was essential for discussion, if representatives from campus media were not to be considered as councilors, then why not took away their speaking rights also.
- AAR suggested writing the motion in more concrete wordings.
- TZE clarified that the rights a non-voting councilor enjoyed had been stipulated in the standing orders already, it would not be appropriate write motion in the form of listing out the rights they had.

#### Motion 25

To clarify that non-voting members of Union Council and the standing committees, HKUSU Council enjoy all rights of councilors except the voting right.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 00:13

- TZE consulted that rights of councilors should not apply on members of standing committees, the wordings in this motion was unclear.

- CC agreed that standing committees should decide on their own mechanisms.

Motion 26

To delete “and the standing committee, HKUSU Council” from motion 25.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconded: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 00:17

- ECU asked if amending a motion in this way would be against the standing orders, as the amended version would be different from the original meaning.

Motion 27

To withdraw motion 25.

Proposer: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Seconded: WONG Wai Yan Phoenix (MSR)

Time received: 00:23

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 00:23

Motion 28

To clarify that non-voting members of Union Council enjoy all rights of councilors except the voting right.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconded: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 00:24

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 00:30

- LCHHR asked for clarification on the usage of rights to move and second by the representatives of campus media.
- TZE suggested that 1 single word in constitution could not have 2 meanings, so rights of ECU, CTVC shall not vary from that of HS. This would be what procedural justice meant.

- PC1 suggested there to be discussion in CRC on the definition of “Non-voting”.
  - PC4 expressed that the present council did not need to have separation of powers.
  - ECU believed that being an editor of Undergrad was a neutral role, but as a councilor, it was actually a separate role, which should not be neutral in nature.
  - TZE appreciated such a chance to discuss on the observation of council rules. He reminded the council that trivial matters form the image of council, the sacred image was essential.
7. To appoint members of Council Judicial Committee
- TZE hoped to help in facilitating the betterment of rules.

#### Motion 29

To appoint Tze Chi Ho (UID: 3035002473) as a member of judicial committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: SHAM Kwan Ho (SSSR)

Time received: 00:35

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 00:35

#### Motion 30

To appoint Mr. Yuen Kit Shing (2011723700) as a member of Judicial Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Chi Hin (EDSR)

Seconder: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Time received: 00:40

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 00:41

- AAR stated that Mr. YUEN KIT SHING could not attend CM3 due to overly packed schedule, but he had a few words to say. As a past councilor, past acting CC and the present CC of the AA Council, he wished to contribute and hoped that JC could take up a more important role.

8. To discuss the performance of Union staff

- AS described on the role of HKUSU staff, including Ling and Carol in the co-operative stores, Gigi, Lung and Jason in SU office. Among all, only Jason, who was employed by PP, had controversial performance. He applied for holiday from 5 Apr, which the application was not approved, but he was still absent then. There were queries over his performance. There was also a staff named Sylvia, who was responsible for financial matters and her performance was also satisfactory.
- Speaking right granted to Mr. WU ADRIAN YAT HAY, AS of Session 2012.
- WU introduced himself as BBA IV, he suggested that Jason had taken up some functions, just that his performance was unsatisfactory when compared with other staff. The post of event manager was added from July 2012 onwards, this new post was employed to help ex-co with organization of events, e.g. union night, welfare week, but Jason always had little involvement during meetings. Apart from socializing with freshmen, he had little practical work done. He regretted that PP was not here to explain on the intention of adding such a post. Gigi and Lung were already very occupied with the duties at counter, Jason was also supposed to take up some administrative work due to the heavy workload, like sending mass email, but in his scope of duties, there were still quite a number of careless mistakes made. It was actually not the first time for him being absent, there have been several times he was absent without reasons already. So the 1st warning letter was issued in late January. He also reminded him to notify AS or staff if he was to be missing. Since the turmoil in SU started, basically he was usually absent, thus the 2nd warning letter was issued. His working attitude was very uncooperative, for example he did not show any respect to AS.
- CAP also provided information that after the vote of no-confidence towards the former CAP, Mr. WONG PAK WING was passed in CA council, they requested to send a mass email on 3/4, but there were still no announcement till now. Even when the sub organizations of CA all took 1 week or even more to send a mass e-mail, and even the content was wrong. On 10/4, ICAP further warned Jason that no mass email shall be sent without ex-co 13's consent. On 15/4, she urged for his explanation, but he explained that he did not have a clue on the mistakes of the system. When they urged him to hand over the mass email, Jason insisted to ask previous CC of session 2012 for consent.
- ECU asked that, after IVP invited a computer science student to check on the server, whether or not it was a criminal offence for Jason to close down Lung's account afterwards.
- AS explained that the reason would be Jason did not recognize the Central ex-cos of session 2013. The power of sending mass email was given to him before the Central Ex-cos took their office.
- WU stated that actually they were not having detailed distribution of work, but there should not be a head who could solely make decision without consulting other staff, for example tearing off the notice put up by the then AS. Jason was arrogant when being consulted Jason

for reasons of unauthorized actions, his attitude was inappropriate even if he was talking to a “union full member”.

- SSSR stated on behalf of SSS, HKUSU that, the society could not use the mass email system, recently even PPAA could not send mass email either. He commented that if HKUSU was treated as a business, Jason’s performance was definitely hurting the reputation of the Union. And his irresponsibility was also a damage to daily operation.
- CAP expressed that although he recognized the Presidents of 3As as ex-cos, he did not respect them either. For example they set a deadline for him to give back the stewardship of the mass e-mail, he still ignored that.
- WU indicated that it was not the first time hearing complaints on societies experiencing the situation SSSR said. When Lung and Tsz Kwan were in charge of the mass email, they checked [bulkmail@gmail.com](mailto:bulkmail@gmail.com) regularly, and there should not be any requested in between that would be missed.
- AS indicated that it was not the first time, but repeatedly had issued warning letters, and did not want to sack him right from the beginning, but the situation still went on, so he had no choice but to recommend firing Jason. He issued invitation to Jason to CM3 on Wednesday, but he refused since he did not recognize Ms. Li Wai Yan as legitimate CC. He emphasized that the reason for firing Jason should not be that he did not recognize the existing Central Ex-cos, but his malfunction. He circulated record to councillors for reference.
- PC4 still requested for sufficient ground.
- CAP listed ignoring ex-cos’ demand to return stewardship as a reason.
- AS stated that as an AS, he had the sole power to fire a staff. According to employment regulation of HKUSU, under 2.7.1., if a staff could not function properly, he could be fired.
- PC1 was concerned about the implied legal responsibility.
- AS replied that compensation would be paid according to the Employment Ordinance.
- SWS asked which events Jason had helped with.
- WU stated that he was recruited in July. A recruitment board consisting of the then IVP, GS and AS were formed, with the reason being that urgently help was needed for O-camp. The then AS was busy with OAS, so the then CAS Bernice Lin and PP Dan Chan were the ones in charge of the camp, they insisted that Jason did help much, for example collecting students’ info and grouping. In his personal opinion, these were all responsibilities of Ex-cos. One of the then ex-co wanted to grant him a room, for keying in personal data of members, and Jason spent 2-3 weeks working full time on that. For the Union night, Jason took up a supervisory role basically. He also helped in the welfare week.
- PC5 asked for the reason of not granting the holiday. He commented that there was insufficient info to determine, without the employment ordinance in hand. He used Tsz Kwan as an example, great amount of compensation was given.
- WU stated that after Sylvia handed the holiday application in to the then AS, he returned and asked for reasons for Jason’s application. Actually the reason was just to be taken as

reference, but he could not understand why when other staff complied with the rules, why Jason did not have to.

- AS stated that according to 2.7.1 of the employment regulation of the HKUSU, the procedures were followed.
- WU distinguished the case of Tsz Kwan from Jason. The former had served for 20 years, so should be receiving long term service pension, but the latter was just newly employed, so just needed to compensate for his holidays.
- ECU queried that since the office bearer has not yet been changed, the employer in the contract was not the newly elected ex-co yet, whether or not there would be subsequent penalty.
- AS stated that his constitutional rights should not be affected simply due to the disputes.
- LCHHR asked questions concerning the feasibility, if Jason insisted on getting to work, what shall be done.
- AS suggested practical actions to be an internal affair of ex-cos. Logically that should not affect the rationality of passing this motion.
- PC5 concerned about wasting 2-month salary on that, due to the missing financial power.
- AS would notify the school after passing the motion.
- WU requested the councillors not to focus only on practical problem, rationality should go first.
- PC5 stated that it would be unreasonable to fire simply because the staff did not provide a reason for leave. He was afraid of the backfire.
- WU explained that the lack of reason would not be the key, but instead, taking leave without approval would be the main reason.
- PC4 asked if Jason was employed in the name of HKUSU or the HKUSU under the Societies Ordinance.
- AAR disagreed with the logic, stating that even the council should not be running if this was a concern. Rationality should be the concern in this motion, not practical feasibility.
- PC4 asked if it would be possible that Jason had never been employed.
- WU stated that ex-cos of session 2012 employed him, which the school had accepted also.
- SWHR explained by the logic that even the CEOs of a company were changed, the employment would still be valid.

### Motion 31

To terminate the employment of Event Manager of HKUSU, Mr. Lam Ka Jin in the name of HKUSU.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 01:38

Vote by Simple Majority

Total Vote: 49

For: 49

(P, IVP, EVP, GS, FS, UAS1, EAS1, EAS2, SWS, PS, SS, CAS, AS, ICAP, CAP, RSA1, RSA2, RICA1, RICA2, RCA1, RCA2, LCHHR, LHHR, LHTHR, LSKHR, RCLHR, RHR, SCSHR, SJCR, SKYLHR, STHR, SWHR, UHR, WLHR, ASR, AAR, BEAR, DSR, EDSR, ENSR, LAR, MSR, SSR, SSSR, PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4, PC5)

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 02:13

- AS reminded that this issue was transferred into council just because he wanted to achieve council consensus, but AS has the sole power to determine the employment status of staff.
- WU asked for more comments on his ability on organizing of events.
- PC2 stated that it was only at the middle of union night that she knew he was a union staff instead of an ex-co, which showed that he used the mindset of an ex-co when working. As an event manager, he did involve much in union night organization.
- PC5 stated that there was nothing special observed in the Centennial Development Committee.
- SSSR stated that sub-organization could not send mass email due to Jason's private censorship, implying that he could not serve the members well, could already be a sufficient reason to fire him.
- LAR stated that his absence without approval on 5/4 was not a main reason, but his poor performance before that would count most.
- PC3 asked again on how to ensure that the motion could be carried out.
- AS stated that the logic would be that, even though the freedom of press might fail to be observed, a motion was still passed on that.
- EDSR suggested having a memorandum issued on showing the reasons for firing.
- PC5 stated that if the only evidence was the application for holiday, it would be insufficient. He stated that if the motion was not that urgent to be passed, maybe it could be discussed again in the next CM.
- LHHR did not think that it shall be delayed, since all evidence had been shown already.

- SSR suggested that the Union should not forget the fact that they were the employers.
- SWS suggested the reason to be the administrative failure causing sub organizations failing to run smooth.
- PC5 stated that there was only 1 piece of written evidence.
- LAR recognized facts, not only the evidence in written form, others could also be put in council record.
- EDSR stated that in the employment regulation 8.1.6., AS had rights to decide whether or not to approve the holiday. He went on emphasizing that they were not finding reasons to fire him, but instead wanted to discuss and attain consensus, so council shall vote on that.
- CC suggested start questioning session, PC5 and PC1 showed interests in questioning.
- WU provided points of information that this was actually council collective responsibility, so councillors should ask questions shall they have any queries, no matter how trivial they sounded. Even though he knew when he asked for comments on Jason's performance, there might be something favoring him to be told.
- PC1 suggested that the wordings should be "granting power to AS".
- CC reminded that actually the constitution had granted AS this power to fire a Union Staff already.
- PC1 commented that working papers could actually be prepared in details beforehand. And if AS considered that he had the power to fire him, there was no need to discuss the matter in council.
- The motion was passed.
- AS commented on the resolution that, there had been a draft of the termination letter, which was to be circulated to councillors.

#### Motion 32

To adopt the termination letter in the name of HKUSU.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 02:18

- AS requested councilors to treat the letter seriously, since it was an important decision.
- LAR consulted whether or not complaints on his administrative work should be listed and the drafts of warning letter should be included.
- SKYLHR suggested making it more sounding with council endorsement, then need more time to let councilors read.

#### Motion 33



To withdraw the motion 32.

Proposer: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Seconded: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time received: 02:25

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 02:25

#### Motion 34

To move the agenda "To receive and adopt the Report on By-Election for Annual Election 2013" after the agenda "To discuss the performance of Union Staff".

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconded: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time received: 02:26

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 02:26

#### 9. To receive and adopt the Report on By-Election for Annual Election 2013

- Speaking right granted to Ms. TSUI LEE KA.
- TSUI introduced herself as BSS(GL)II, and stated that the By-election report was drafted based on CM2 minutes.
- SSR asked if the report included the cases regarding faculty board elections.
- TSUI stated that it included all cases handled in council.

#### Motion 35

Report on Complaints towards By-Election of Annual Election 2013 and By-Election of Undergraduate Student Memberships to Boards of Faculties be received.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconded: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 02:35

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 02:35

- TSUI explained that the report was divided into 5 sections: introduction, election officers, arrangements- polling & ballot papers, results and general comments. She requested councillors to raise if there were any errors. And the report on complaints was written in point form combining similar complaints, instead of case by case.
- ECU raised that there was complaint on there being no official channels to publicize the 24-hr time limit of complaint, but it was not included in the report.
- TSUI stated that if councilors preferred that to be included, that case could be included also, so does the details of description on vote counting
- SSSR agreed that the details of voting method shall be included as they were quite different from before.
- ECU stated that the official channel issue was better to be mentioned.
- CC asked for a reasonable time interval for Ms Tsui to amend.
- TSUI suggested the following day.
- GS suggested including more recommendation on ballot storage also.
- CC need to adopt before 22/4, so that we can pass it to school. wait till AOB then adopt
- TSUI asked for 15-30 minutes, so that he could arrange time better.
- CC suggested postponing the agendum to after the President's address.
- LCHHR thanked Ms. Tsui for her selfless contribution.

#### Motion 36

To move the agendum " To receive the President's address" and "To receive and adopt the Report on By-Election for Annual Election 2013" before the agendum "To report the current situation and working progress of Union Executives.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time received: 02:56

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 02:57

#### 10. To receive the President's Address

- P expressed that it was his pleasure to have a chance to deliver the President's address.
- PC2 hoped that he would have more interaction with PCs, instead of publicizing stance unilaterally.
- AAR asked if there would be any inauguration held.
- P stated no, explaining that it would be a waste of time.

- AAR commented that inauguration might bring an image to some that the Union was legitimate, and school principal figures attending implied their recognition over the HKUSU.
- P considered that not to be the key, and attending inauguration might not necessarily implied recognition.

#### Motion 37

This Council thanks the President for his address.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 03:09

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 03:10

- AAR appreciated the ex-cos' courage to have stood for the elections. He quoted "this is the best of times, this is the worst of times", but he hoped the council together would bring the best time of all.

#### Motion 38

To request for a recess of five minutes.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: LO John (AS)

Time received: 03:12

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 03:12

- The meeting was reconvened at 03:25.

#### Motion 39

The report on By-Election for Annual Election 2013 be received.

Proposer: NG Wwai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 03:29

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried  
Time resolved: 03:29

- TSUI requested the councillors to suggest if there were still any financial matters related to the election, she stated that enquiry has been sent to the HKU council, but still pending for reply.
- AAR provided information that, according to the regulation for faculties board elections, the interpretation power rested with UEC, and HKU council should not be involved in the decision.
- TSUI reminded that this was not yet the final reply. The details for re-counting needed to be confirmed within council also.

#### Motion 40

The Union Council acknowledges that one By-election has been conducted in Session 2013 due to the vacancies remained after Annual Election 2013, according to Article 1, Section XIII of the Election Regulation of HKUSU.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)  
Seconder: CHOW Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Time received: 03:36

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 03:39

- GS stated that according to the election regulations, it was stated that 1 by-election must be held after annual election, if there were any vacancies.

#### 11. To report the current situation and working progress of Union Executives

- UAS listed out the places where the vending machine could be placed, and stated that the original working plan should be finished in May. Presently hot water machine could only be found in union building, he would report that to cedars.
- CC asked how could the machines be placed in centennial building ground floor and Chow Yi Ching Building, with the lamp posts blocking the walls.
- UAS replied that the lamp posts could be removed.
- AAR asked for the exact location for the machine, whether it would be near Jockey Club Tower or Cheng Yu Tung Tower.
- UAS stated that it would be the place in between the lift of Jockey Club Tower and Cheng Yu Tung Tower.

- AAR expressed worries that vending machines could already be found easily there, whether the density would be too high.
- UAS stated that if 1 under each building, it might be too much, but for the present situation, it would be acceptable.
- AAR expressed his concern that 1 hot water machine and 2 vending machines could already be found in Jockey Club Tower, but none could be found in Run Run Shaw Tower. He suggested to strike a balance.
- LAR suggested considering to place one in Chi Wah Complex.
- SKYLHR asked for the minimum number of vending machines in certain area.
- UAS described the situation now in centennial campus to be acceptable.
  
- EVP stated that the current affairs forum would be held once or twice a month, with the upcoming one on June Fourth Incident. The CA concern group's role should be assisting CAC, after CAC raised a concern, then concern group would initiate an action.
  
- P sent an email to ex-co of session 2012 to ask for administrative power, but they were in return recognized as full members. For the alteration of society office bearer, the police wanted the previous office bearers' signature, which was actually not required in the Societies Ordinance, he would seek for legal action. He requested the council to appoint a law firm for assistance, he suggested one by alumni, due to the fact that it would be cheaper than finding their own, issuing an official legal notification letter would cost around \$1000-2000.
- LAR asked whether further actions would be taken in accordance with council's decision, or by ex-cos themselves.
- P stated that they would wait for registry's response.
- AAR asked for the background information for the law firm.
- SKYLHR asked for the introduction of solicitor.
- UHR stated that the firm was once sued.
- CC stated that it was quite a long time ago, and she asked who the solicitor in charge of the case would be.
- P introduced him as Chan Kai Leung.
- PC2 asked how much time would be needed for that to be settled.
- P stated that once the motion is passed, will discuss on the draft of the letter. Hopefully it would be sent to the registry on Monday or Tuesday.

#### Motion 41

To endorse Mr. Eric Chan Kai Leung, Solicitor of Messrs, King & Co. (譚德興程國豪劉麗卿律師行) to represent The Hong Kong University Students' Union to handle the disputes over the change of office-bearers registered under Societies Ordinance.

Proposer: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Seconder: LO John (AS)

Time received: 04:01

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 04:10

- CAS reported on the CAC working progress. Some issues covered include June-Fourth Incident, the death of Margaret Thatcher and the strike at the Terminal. Some ways of presentation include exhibition, forum and publication. He also reported that CAC member Wong Hoi Ming's statement which was controversial online, was not representing CAC stance. As concluded in EM2, no punishment shall be imposed.
- AAR stated that for the renovation of the Pillar of Shame, the Chinese Democracy Fund might not be applicable.
- SWHR asked about repainting the Swire Bridge.
- EAS1 explained there would be an exhibition next week, on the situation of the Chinese Human Rights Development. At the scene, there would be an activity of cosigning on a banner produced by the halls. The forum on June Fourth Incident would be held in front of the Pillar of Shame. On 4/6, there would be recruitment of students to help repaint Swire Bridge, followed by attending the candlelight vigil.
- ECU asked whether or not there would be a fusion of product selling and money-raising.
- EAS1 replied that there would be money donation for renovation of the Pillar of Shame.
- ECU suggested making use of the alumni network.
- IVP stated that she would try to set up a fund which was already in progress.
  
- UAS reminded that choose student representatives in Senate will be chosen on 23-29/4 at 9am-5pm. For the committee electing vice chancellor, 25/4 would be the deadline of choosing representatives.
  
- EVP raised that Central Ex-cos was going to support HKFS political reform statement and collect cosign for it in campus. The main points of the statement included:
  - 1) There should be no door post in the 2017 Universal Suffrage.
  - 2) There should be no Functional Constituency in 2020.
  - 3) Civil disobedience would be an acceptable way to voice out opinion.He considered it was not a nice time to consult council, so he would raise that again in the next CM.

12. To receive and adopt the half-yearly and annual report of Union Executives, Session 2012

- CC reported that ICAP, Session 2012 had sent the report already. FS, Session 2012 claimed that he would send later since he was not in HK then. And AS would report next time.
- ICAP reported that the report included some achievements in the ICA council meeting internally, and some other in the Union Council Meeting as external achievements. The report was concluded with some recommendation.

#### Motion 42

The annual report of the President of Independent Clubs Association, HKUSU, Session 2012 be received.

Proposer: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Seconder: LO John (AS)

Time received: 04:26

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 04:26

- GS suggested that there was no need to pass a motion as document loss yet, they could still wait for the two ex-cos who would hand in before making the declaration.
- LSKHR suggested passing motions declaring reports from ex-cos apart from FS and AS as document loss, as the Council could not have unlimited waiting.
- CAP stated that CAP of session 2012 was impeached with vote of no confidence, he had a breach of duty so probably could not have a report.
- GS suggested it was his constitutional duty so maybe it was needed to find another ex-co of CA to write, for example IV.
- CAP promised to try to contact ex-cos of Session 2012, using next CM as deadline.
- AAR stated that it was CAP's duty to submit report within one month after the end of his term, counting from the day the vote of no-confidence against him was passed.
- PC2 stated that he would not hand in no matter what, as he would use excuses like he did not recognize the present UC, she believed it was a waste of time to wait.
- AAR believed that WONG had recognize the Council since he submitted complaints on By-election, he believed that the council should wait for his reason for not handing in, maybe it would deem fit.
- GS checked CA Constitution and stated that IDP shall be the deputy president.
- CAP promised to try his best looking for IDP, session 2012.
- AAR stated that the overall report was required by by-laws, which would be loss of documents if they would not hand in. Actually post by post report was not the thing required in by-laws. And they might disregard their promise, so he supported moving motion only after waiting for AS and FS's report, which the deadline would be next CM.

- LSKHR asked if the Council was to wait for FS.
13. To receive and adopt the half-yearly and annual report of Council standing committees, Session 2012
- CC stated the OAC report shall be reported in the next CM.
14. To discuss the Orientation affairs
- AS stated the orientation period shall be from the first day of the first semester, and then count for 1 month, i.e. 2/9/2013-1/10/2013.
  - RCA1 asked for the rationale of composition of OAC.
  - AS stated that since last year was double cohort, each party had more representatives. This year there should be 3A Ps, 2 hall representatives, 2 faculty representatives and 1PC.
  - BEAR asked if there was any other rationale for such composition apart from usual prac, any other rationale.
  - AS hoped to have balanced representation, so he just borrowed from previous becoss don't think AS should be the one initiating changes, will let council initiate changes
  - MSR suggested adding 1 representative in faculty and hall representation respectively, as there were 10 faculties and 3 halls in total, it would be difficult to choose only 2 representatives from each category.
  - SKYLHR agreed.
  - AS suggested that if all considered 3+3+3 would be appropriate, he would accept the amendment.
  - ICAP expressed her consent, endorsing that the composition of 3+3+3 indeed struck a better balance, but she emphasized that 3As might not be in one single party that had similar opinion with each other.
  - CAP agreed that 3A representatives should not be taken as a whole, in fact each association rested with 20 associations, in which 1 vote implied large representation.
  - LSKHR questioned that there were also 15 halls, whether or not there needed to be 15 representatives. He commented CAP's logic as illogical.
  - AS expressed that consensus was needed.
  - PC2 agreed that since there were only 5 PCs, only 1 representative would be enough, and she would be the one appointed.
  - CAP also expressed consent with the composition of 3+3+3, there would be 3 separated zones anyway.
  - AS reminded councillors that the OAC would not be a place to maximize own interest.

Motion 43

To establish the Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013, according to the



amended Terms of reference.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time received: 04:58

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 04:59

#### Motion 44

To appoint the popularly elected Union Councillor Kuang Kaishan (UID: 3035057351) into the Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LEUNG Wing Ho (PC3)

Seconder: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Time received: 04:59

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:00

#### Motion 45

To appoint CHEUNG KIN LONG (UID: 2012534534) as a member of Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Time received: 05:00

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:00

#### Motion 46

To appoint Mr. Ngai Ting Hong (UID: 3035064770) as a Faculty Councillor into the Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LIU Chi Hang (DSR)

Seconder: WONG Wai Yan Phoenix (MSR)

Time received: 05:01

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:01

Motion 47

To appoint Ms. Ho Oi Mei (UID: 3035051498) as a Faculty Councillor into the Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: WONG Wai Yan Phoenix (MSR)

Seconder: NGAI Ting Hong (SSR)

Time received: 05:01

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:01

Motion 48

To appoint Wong Suet Kei (UID: 0335048312) as the Hall Councillor into the Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: YU Lok Nam (SWHR)

Seconder: GUAN Jia Yin (SKYLHR)

Time received: 05:02

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:03

Motion 49

To appoint Chow Sai Cheung (UID: 3035015377) as a member of Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Seconder: HO Chun Hin (UHR)

Time received: 05:03

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:03

- ECU reconfirmed that Undergrad must not be considered as advertisements, especially after the passage of a motion in protecting freedom of press. In previous sessions, there were even booths set up, he hoped this arrangement could be maintained. Undergrad and Campus TV

were also annually elected sub-organization, which were independent from other organizations.

- CTVC also hoped that Campus TV could have a zone.
- PC2 asked for the area of the zone.
- CC suggested that to be discussed in OAC.
- SKYLHR raised that the zone might not be in Run Run Shaw Podium, and she hoped there could be detailed discussion on replacement.
- EVP hoped that HKFS could also have a zone, as other universities also had independent zones for HKFS.
- ECU asked if a motion could be passed to state that Undergrad should not be bound by the Orientation Regulations.
- CC suggested that to be discussed in OAC.
- EDSR asked if the suggestion would become regulations only after endorsed by council.
- CC replied yes.

#### 15. To appoint members of Council Standing Committees

##### Motion 50

To appoint Sin Po Lun (UID: 3035040396) as a Hall Councillor into the Orientation Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: YU Lok Nam (SWHR)

Seconder: WONG Suet Kei (SJCR)

Time received: 05:03

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:04

##### Motion 51

To appoint LEUNG WING HO (UID: 3035011175) as the representative of the Union Council of the Campus TV. HKUSU, Session 2013.

Proposer: CHOW Chun Ming (PC1)

Seconder: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Time received: 05:11

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:11

Motion 52

To appoint KUANG Kaishan (UID: 3035057351) as the Union Full Member of University Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: CHOW Chun Ming (PC1)

Seconder: LEUNG Wing Ho (PC3)

Time received: 05:11

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:11

Motion 53

To appoint Chow Chun Ming (UID:3035045592) as the Popularly Elected Union Councillor of University Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: KUANG Kaishan (PC2)

Seconder: LEUNG Wing Ho (PC3)

Time received: 05:13

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:13

Motion 54

To appoint Wong Wai Yan Phoenix (UID: 3035037387) as the non-executive councilor of Current Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LEE Chee Wing (LAR)

Seconder: NG Chi Hin (EDSR)

Time received: 05:20

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:20

Motion 55

To appoint So Cheuk Yiu (UID: 2012530095) as the non-executive Councillor of Constitution Review, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: WONG Wai Lun (ASR)

Seconded: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Time received: 05:22

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:22

Motion 56

To appoint Mr. Ngai Ting Hong (UID 3035064770) as the non executive councilor of Constitution Review of HKUSU Council Session 2013.

Proposer: NGAI Ting Hong (SSR)

Seconded: LIU Chi Hang (DSR)

Time received: 05:22

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:23

Motion 57

To appoint Chan Tsz Chun Rachel (UID: 3035064407) as the Councillor of Union Elections Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: WONG Wai Lun (ASR)

Seconded: YUEN Wing Lam (SCSHR)

Time received: 05:23

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:23

Motion 58

To appoint Chow Chun Ming (UID: 3035045592) as the non-executive councilor of Council Business Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: CHOW Chun Ming (PC1)

Seconder: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Time received: 05:24

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:24

Motion 59

To appoint Cheung Kin Long (UID: 2012534534) as the non-executive councilor of Council Business Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: WONG Wai Lun (ASR)

Seconder: YU Lok Nam (SWHR)

Time received: 05:24

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:24

Motion 60

To appoint Leung Kai Tung (UID: 3035043685) as the non-executive Councillor of Union Finance Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Seconder: CHU Sin Po (MHR)

Time received: 05:25

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:25

Motion 61

To appoint LEUNG WING HO (UID: 3035011175) as the non-executive councillor of Union Finance Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Seconder: KUANG Kaishan (PC2)

Time received: 05:26

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:26

Motion 62

To appoint WONG WING LONG LEO (UID: 3035055511) as the non-executive Councillor of Union Finance Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LEUNG Wing Ho (PC3)

Seconder: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Time received: 05:26

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:26

Motion 63

To appoint Yuen Wing Lam (UID:3035045786) as the non-executive Councillor of Council Business Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: HO Chun Hin (UHR)

Seconder: GUAN Jia Yin (SKYLHR)

Time received: 05:28

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:28

Motion 64

To appoint Wong Wai Lun (UID: 3035051424) as a member of Union Elections Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: SHAM Kwan Ho (SSSR)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 05:29

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:29

Motion 65

To appoint Sham Kwan Ho (UID: 3035045736) as a member of Union Elections Committee of Union Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: WONG Wai Lun (ASR)

Seconder: LO John (AS)

Time received: 05:29

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:29

Motion 66

To appoint Yu Lok Nam (UID:2012531154) as the non-executive councillor of Current Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: GUAN Jia Yin (SKYLHR)

Seconder: TSO Kwan Yi (LHTHR)

Time received: 05:30

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:30



Motion 67

To appoint Ho Wing Sze (UID: 3035061570) as a member of University Affairs Committee, Union Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: YIU Hoi Ling (RICA2)

Seconders: YEUNG Yat Yee Melody (RICA1)

Time received: 05:31

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:31

Motion 68

To appoint CHAN JASON CHUN HO (2012554273) as a member of University Affairs Committee, HKUSU Council Session 2013.

Proposer: YU Lok Nam (SWHR)

Seconders: HO Chun Hin (UHR)

Time received: 05:31

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:31

Motion 69

To appoint Chu Sin Po (UID: 3035044287) as a non-executive member of Union Elections Committee, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: HO Chun Hin (UHR)

Seconders: YU Lok Nam (SWHR)

Time received: 05:32

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 05:32

16. To discuss the matters concerning Council restructuring

- CC vacated herself, HS took up acting CC.
- LI WAI YAN suggested mainly 5 purposes of the CRWG, which include increasing the popularity base, striking a balance of power.
- ICAP suggested that 20 members might be too many.
- LI suggested that all composition to have one less representatives, if all councilors considered that as appropriate.
- CAP stated that actually CA, ICA and SA were different stakeholders that quite often had differences in opinion.
- ICAP agreed with CAP that 3As' opinion might vary. She suggested faculty and hall to have less representatives as they had more identical interest.
- LI would not recommend reducing a party's representation.
- LCHHR asked if a hall representative wanted to enter using the identity as a full member, whether or not that would be allowed.
- LI hoped to foster participation of union full member, so she would only consider councilor when no union full members were interested.
- ICAP asked how about ex-cos of sub organizations.
- LI asked if full members only referred to non-office bearer.
- ICAP suggested this interpretation specifically applied here in CRWG only.
- AAR asked why that would only apply to this working group.
- EDSR suggested that actually there would be no need to pose limit on that, council consensus would do.
- ECU suggested full member not to be defined so narrowly.
- ICAP asked what the function of the presence of union full members was.
- LI suggested that might deter union full members from getting in.
- CTVC suggested at least 1 seat to be reserved for campus media.
- ECU agreed that they were also members of council.
- LI respected media also.
- UHR asked if they would still be non-voting councilors in CRWG.
- ASR considered that as consensus within the working group, thus it would not matter.
- CC believed that HS was non-voting only because she was a secretary, but media should be part of the voting membership.
- ICAP repeated that full members should hopefully not be councillors. She believed that balance of powers did not necessarily mean equal number of seats.
- AAR believed that it would be impossible for a councilor to fully evade conflict of interest, but directly collecting opinion from union full members would be more important.
- LI would recruit helpful professionals to get in.

- LCHHR asked for a concrete timetable for CRWG.
- LI stated that there had not been schedule set, review would be done in summer, and hopefully the proposal would be ready at the beginning of the next school year.
- ICAP was still concerned that there would be too many people.
- PC1 emphasized on reconsidering the aims and objectives of the working group.
- AAR suggested the composition to be 2(hall)+2(faculty)+3(3As)+2(ex-cos)+1(PC).
- SSSR believed that the speed would not be fostered much still.
- ICAP suggested copying the composition of CRC.
- LI distinguished the work of CRWG to be different from that of CRC, as it did not only review constitutions of sub-organizations, so the composition should not be the same.
- PC2 asked on the number of full members, whether it should be 3 or 2.
- ASR preferred the number of full member remained 3.

#### Motion 70

To establish the council Restructuring Working Group with the reference of the amended terms of reference of CRWG, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LI Wai Yan Vivian (CC)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 06:11

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:11

- UHR stated that originally they intended to appoint 3 hall representatives, including STHR on the list, but since he had early left, representatives from hall would be appointed next time.

#### Motion 71

To appoint the popularly elected Union Councillor SO CHEUK YIU (UID: 2012530095) into the Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Seconder: CHOW Chun Ming (PC1)

Time received: 06:14

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:14

Motion 72

To appoint the popularly elected Union Councilor WONG WING LONG (UID: 3035055511) into the Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: SO Cheuk Yiu (PC4)

Seconder: KUANG Kaishan (PC2)

Time received: 06:14

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:15

Motion 73

To appoint Mr. Ng Wai Ka (3035054361) as a member of Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Seconder: NG Chi Hin (EDSR)

Time received: 06:16

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:16

Motion 74

To appoint LI CHEE WING (UID: 3035042605) as a member of the Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: NG Chi Hin (EDSR)

Seconder: CHEUNG Kin Long (ENSR)

Time received: 06:16

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:17

Motion 75

To appoint Wong Yee Man (3035016577) as a member of Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LI Chee Wing (LAR)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 06:17

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:17

Motion 76

To appoint Chau Dickson Ka Faat (UID: 2010006480) as the member of Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Seconder: HSU Yin Man (FS)

Time received: 06:17

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:18

Motion 77

To appoint MOK KA HEI (UID: 3035041132) as a member of Council Restructuring Working Group, HKUSU Council, Session 2013.

Proposer: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)

Seconder: LIU Chi Hang (DSR)

Time received: 06:18

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:18

- CC suggested the remaining appointment to be done in CM4.

17. To review the affiliation applications from Korean Society to HKUSU

- CC reminded that the affiliation had already passed in CRC.

- AAR confirmed that the affiliation to HKUSU already finished all primary steps.

Motion 78

To endorse the approved constitution of Korean Society.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Time received: 06:24

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:24

18. A.O.B.

- ECU requested the Council to suspend Mr. LEE JACK KAI TIK's post as the news editor of Undergrad, due to the upcoming senate election.

Motion 79

To suspend Lee Jack Kai Tik (UID: 3035040322) as the Editor of Feature Department and Publicity Secretary of the undergrad, HKUSU, Session 2013 until the Election of 2 Full-Time Undergraduate Students to the Senate.

Proposer: LEUNG Kai Ping (ECU)

Seconder: MOK Ka Hei (CTVC)

Time received: 06:25

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:25

Motion 80

To deliver a vote of thanks to campus media, session 2012, for their dedication and good performance in their term of office.

Proposer: NG Wai Ka (AAR)

Seconder: WONG Wai Yan Phoenix (MSR)

Time received: 06:26

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:26

- AS requested councillors to check on the draft of the termination letter. The only reason suggested in the letter would be “absent without reason” as he believed that would be the strongest reason. He also included a list of resources for Jason to return. According to the Employment Ordinance, one month salary would also be paid as compensation.

#### Motion 81

To adopt and issue termination letter on the name of HKUSU.

Proposer: LO John (AS)

Seconder: TANG Laurence Yat Long (P)

Time received: 06:29

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:29

- CC recommended 5 Clear days not to count the issue day and event day. She questioned about whether or not public holiday should also be counted.
- GS suggested that there was too much unpredictability. If university holidays were excluded, the whole summer would also not be counted. So excluding issue and event days would be sufficient.
- CC reminded councillors that this also applied to the constitutions of sub organizations, unless otherwise specified.

#### Motion 82

To clarify that the definition of “clear day” shall be a whole day excluding the day of issue and the day of event.

Proposer: CHAU Dickson Ka Faat (GS)

Seconder: CHOW Yong Kang Alex (EVP)

Time received: 06:33

No objection

Resolution: Motion Carried

Time resolved: 06:33

- CC made several reminders:
  - 1) Termination of staff should be responsibility of AS, it was just due to the potential harm of interest of sub organizations that the performance of union staff was discussed in Union Council, so this would be a once and for all experience.

- 2) CM4/ECM2 would be called before the last teaching day to pass affiliation.
- LCHHR asked for the number of societies that intended to be affiliated.
- CC counted that there would be 1 for MS, 4 for AA, 1 for ENS and 1 for CA.
- CC continued on the reminders:
  - 3) Council handbook would cost \$70-100 per person
  - 4) Councillors have been speaking too soft in the meetings
  - 5) Green Council
  - 6) Council Orientation would be held at council chamber on Sunday.
- IVP reminded that only iPad were missing in council chamber.
- The meeting ends at 06:38 on 20/4/2013.

Prepared by,

Approved by,

---

Leung Lai Kwok Yvonne  
Honorary Secretary  
HKUSU Council, Session 2013

---

Li Wai Yan, Vivian  
Council Chairperson  
HKUSU Council, Session 2013